

Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY
or by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk**

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at **www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan**.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.



Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes No

Comments

Yes, splitting the borough in to the three areas of “North”, “A12 Corridor” and “A127 Corridor” appears to make sense given the different characteristics of these areas, **BUT Herongate and Ingrave should be part of the A127 Corridor. They are more like West Horndon village and use the A127 as their closest main road, to assume A12 use would be incorrect.**



Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes No

Comments

No.

- Road and rail infrastructure in the A127 Corridor is already at (and during the rush hour well over) capacity, with no current budget to improve it.
- It is not clear why the A127 Corridor has greater potential for improvements than the A12. The A127 is tightly bounded by Southend (where it is in essence, a local road) and the London area where traffic is extremely heavy. There are also many areas where houses run all the way to the edge of the A127. As such, widening of the A127 is not necessarily easy. The A12 could potentially be expanded in a number of areas without material impact to the surrounding residential properties.
- The consultation document also implies that the A127 has greater development potential due to it having a “different landscape character”. Whilst it does indeed have a different landscape character to say, the North of the Borough, the local residents’ value of the open space and farmland should not be considered any lower than residents of the North of the Borough. The open, fenland landscape is valued extremely highly by local residents, and contributes to an open rural feel to this area and local settlements.
- Flood risk is not addressed for any of the sites. It is clearly a major problem in the A127 Corridor and needs to be fully assessed before any development decision can be made.



Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes No

Comments

Yes.

- 020 and 021 (Industrial Estates in West Horndon) are already in the 5 year land supply, and are brownfield sites that could be developed in a positive manner for West Horndon village, although probably at a lower density than the 500 indicated in the 5 year land supply. It should be noted however that development of these sites alone could increase the residential size of West Horndon village by c. 90% and as such, sufficient planning and infrastructure will need to ensure that the impact to existing residents is managed appropriately. The present infrastructure (including roads, school and healthcare provision, and public transport) would be extremely inadequate in light of such expansion of the village.

- 037A, B and C, 038A and B, and 126, are all Green Belt sites surrounding West Horndon village. Development of these sites would change the existing West Horndon village beyond all recognition, and put a very significant strain on local residents and infrastructure. I believe that the very significant development this would represent within the Green Belt would be inappropriate development and represent significant harm to the local environment, harm which would not be outweighed by the need for housing within the Borough. The consultation document makes no reference to the major flood problems that would occur if development took place on any of these sites.

- Site 192 is large and could easily be created as a new village or town in Brentwood. It would have close links to A127 (in line with BBC view the the A127 can handle more growth).



Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments

This question is clearly biased and unfair quiestopn to have in a consultation. It is not clear what you are refering to with regards to greater capacity for growth and you are leading everyone to put a location forward for this area. You are leading people to answer your question in a very biased manner and you cannot rely on the results to this question. Point 3.12 is forcing people partcialyr in West Horndon, so answers Dunton Garden Subarb, as otherwise the Village will be under the seige of development.

In line with Question 2, I question the statement that the A127 corridor has greater capacity than the A12 corridor in addition, I believe that Herongate and Ingrave should be part of this area and therefore, believe that best location for growth is, 192.



Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?

Yes No

Comments

Yes. Given the level of projected housing need within the Borough, sustainable development requires Brentwood Borough Council to consider all available and suitable sites across the rest of the Borough. The A12 Corridor has the ability to meet a significant portion of the Borough's increased housing needs, and suitable sites should be included in any spatial strategy.



Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

Comments

• Where there are available, suitable sites, brownfield should always be considered above greenfield sites.

Greenfield should not actually be built on, it is there to protect areas from Urban sprawl. The Government is not asking that Greenbelt is built on and supports the protection of greenbelt land. Brentwood Council should push back on being forced into permitting developing on Greenbelt.

Releasing the Green Belt land around West Horndon village would not create a positive or balanced impact to the existing community.



Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?

Yes No

Comments

With the industrial estates at West Horndon expected to be developed for primarily residential development, it is key that replacement employment opportunities are provided within the local area (A127 Corridor). These must however be accessible via public transport as well as via road.



Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically

Yes No

sustainable, do you agree that a “Town Centre First” approach should be taken to retail development?

Comments

Yes and No

- Whilst local shops need to be provided at the village level, primary “destination” shopping locations should be focused within existing town centres.

This question goes against the premise the it is beneficial to develop in West Horndon, since there is no good public service to get people to the Town Centre, once an hour bus service that doesn’t operate frequently goes against creating a town centre for all. So

Town Centre First retail development must also be accompanied (or preferably, preceded by) appropriate infrastructure improvements including regular and reliable public transport to all areas including the more rural ones, car parking facilities, and improvements to the road network to prevent unmanageable congestion



Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes No

Comments

Yes. West Horndon village currently benefits from a small community park on Cadogan Avenue. As part of any potential future development within the village (and indeed, the Dunton Garden Suburb), there are significant opportunities to enhance this park, both from a facilities and access perspective.



Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness				4	
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use					5
Wildlife Interest					5
Historic Interest				4	
Tranquility					5
Other – please specify:					



Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses			3	
Commercial / Industrial buildings			3	
Nature Reserves / Wildlife			3	
Farmland				4
Woodland		2		
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1			
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)			3	
Leisure / Recreation Facilities		2		
Other – please specify:				



Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes No

Comments

No – you have not considered the main infrastructure issues and Yes - there are other important issues to consider.

- Development must not increase the challenges to the borough’s infrastructure (i.e. it must be small enough not to create a significant demand on current infrastructure, or big enough to generate money to create/improve infrastructure to meet the new need).
- Whilst transport is considered, the focus appears to be on Crossrail and links to Brentwood Town Centre. Although important, given the scale of potential development within the A127 Corridor, a completely fresh transport strategy needs to be developed for this area. This will need to consider both the impact of Brentwood and Basildon’s development along the Shoeburyness to Fenchurch Street rail line, and other local councils looking to develop along this line as well (significant knock-on impact that may not be considered by looking at just Brentwood and Basildon’s plans alone). It also needs to consider how residents of the Garden Suburb (if developed) travel to West Horndon, Laindon and Basildon, and how West Horndon village residents travel to the Garden Suburb, Brentwood and Basildon town centres. The transport strategy will also need to incorporate regular public transport to local employment locations given the potential redevelopment of the West Horndon industrial estates.
- From a road perspective, the consultation document focuses heavily on the A12 and A127. However the A128 links these two roads, and importantly links the south of the Borough to Brentwood Town Centre (including related infrastructure (importantly, secondary schools). Any development within the A127 or A12 corridors will need to consider how to alleviate what will become intolerable strain on this specific road.
- In addition to transport; education, healthcare, community facilities and green infrastructure are all identified as significant infrastructure considerations. The detail on these within the consultation document is limited and significantly more detail will be necessary to ensure future development is carried out sustainably.
- Timing of infrastructure needs to have a stronger focus than currently seen in the consultation.

Given the scale of potential development within the A127 Corridor, supporting infrastructure needs to be in place first, to prevent a significant and materially negative impact on existing residents. This will also ensure that any new development is undertaken in a sustainable manner



Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

If there is development anywhere, there shouldn't be priorities, the necessary infrastructure should be included in as part of any development; roads, schools, healthcare, community facilities etc. There are no 'nice to haves' all would be necessary.

Failure to provide any one element of this infrastructure will have a materially negative impact on both existing residents, and the new development. As such, whilst there may be priorities in areas where development is expected to be lower/less significant, in areas expected to see high levels of development, a holistic infrastructure plan needs to be delivered to ensure the development is undertaken in a sustainable manner.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015
(see page 1 for details)