



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details



Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes approaches to growth?	No P
*	Comments The areas specified do not mention 0286 and 192 plus sites on the edge of the green Belt South of Kutton 028A.028B. These are huge areas 1) land that are not part 1) the A12 and A127 corridors, nor villages to the North M the berough. This specific area is not considered -shown but not part 1) the planning.	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes □	No I
	Comments North of the Borough - Insufficient attention to Infrastructure - transport, schools, G. P's. A12 Consider YES No nention of 1280,192 028A,0288-GREEN BELT which should not be used for housing. Non ruch used by walters, spen space, his tonical woodlands. for housing. Nan ruch used by walters, spen space, his tonical woodlands. and good tracks. Home to much wildlife, binds, small and forpasts and good tracks. Home to much wildlife, binds, small manuals should, not be roughed an Page 27 Consulation do aument. Infilly 1) signess legions. to Hall Leve -no paulinas and for much traffic to Manging Kay Lane.	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes	No
	Comments The A127 corridor 1, the most surtable, as is the Selven the A127 and the natural, Norpsine agricultural land, noom for suparsion between these two networks. Almady a cost of infrastructure while could be added to. A12 condor yes if confined to area between A12 and Raraway. North-only if small rites were made available.	
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments The A127 Corridor.	

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes A the edge of urban areas?	No	Þ
	Comments BUT only of between 412/Rarlway.		
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?		
	To dwelop Brown fineld States.		
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	No	
	Comments		
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	No	
	Comments In order for Brentwood to remain a town, I needs a Town Center. Dur of town shopping theen are losing their attraction and they ever access the rearby-Gallous Corner and Shelmsford.		

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes □ No ত

Comments

Will all the green Belt around us at 0280 and 192, also 028A and 028B, we delight in the Open Space awarable to us.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	6
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	⑤
Other - please specify: Access to le ley footfoods	1	2	3	4	(5)

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	0	2	(Ab)	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	3
Farmland	1	2	3	(3)
Woodland	1	2	3	(4)
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	0	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	0	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	(3)
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider?	Yes 🔀	No □

Growsh of present pepulation - schools-expansion

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments Transport C. P. failits	
Education - schools.	

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)