1 2 FEB 2015



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details



Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes 🗹 approaches to growth?	No 🗆
	Splitting the borough into three areas appears to make sense due to the an different characteristics of these areas	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	No ☑
	Comments PORD + RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE IS OVERSTRETCHED A127 AT PEAK TIMES IS AT A STANSTILL + C2C IS PACKED AT PEAK TIMES WITH NO STATS AVAILABLE WHICH WILLOWLY GET WOUSE FLOOD RISK HAS NOT BEEN ASSESSED, NE HAVE HAD FLOODING IN THOUNDAND AVE ROADS AROUND DINTON OF TEN FLOODING SLIP RD	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes	No □
	Comments 020 +021 INDUSTRIAL ESTATES IN WEST HOKNOON ARE BROWNFIELD SITES AND IN MY OPINION, F WE HAVE. TO HAVE EXTER HOUSING, THIS WOULD BE THE PREFERED OPTION, RATHER THAN GREEN BETT CAND. BUT THERE WOULD NEED TO HAVE SCHOOLS, DOCTORS A PUBLIC TRANSPORT AND SATISFY DEMAND	
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments SITE 200 (DUNTON GARDEN SUBERB) IS PREFERED TO STURR SITES AS IT WOULD GIVE A LARGE NUMBER OF HOUSING IN I LOCATION, ALTHOUGH IT WOULD PUT A STRAIN ON SURROUNDING ROADS + RAIL NETWORLS	

3	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes ☑ No ☐ the edge of urban areas?
	COMMENTS SUITABLE SITES SHOULD BEINCLUDED IN A12 CORRIDOR TO SPREAD THE IMPACT ON ONE TREA
	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments where there are suitable brownheld sited these should be developed first as in west Horndon Industrial Park as it provides housing in a good location without damaging green belt land
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	To relocate employment site such as West Korndon Industrial Park it is important that it is within the A12 corridor with good access to major road networks but ideally with access to public transport
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments there does need to be local shops for villages but focus needs to be on town centres

	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes ☑ No □
•	where you live?	

could be enhanced texpanded. If they boild the Dunton garden suborb they could pot in park areas

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	<u>(3)</u>	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	(3)	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	(3)	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	(3)	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes 🗹 No 🗆 important issues to consider?

Comments if the Dunton garden suburb gets the goahead it would need a train station, atherwise the resident would drive to reigh bouring stas as west thorndon or Laindon which would cause chaos at poat times, and there would not be enough allocated parting in state car parts and there would need better bus services

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments given the scale of development proposed in A127 corridor it would need to be evenly spread between education, transport, healthcore, community facilities and green space

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)