

Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY
or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

? Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth? Yes No

Comments

This country has a massive problem with immigration which is now leading to the government building on our greenbelt to accommodate this. If you go in Norfolk now the British are the minority!! How about putting the British Public first & just saying NO!

? Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes No

Comments

You don't seem to care this is greenbelt land and that the Broufield site and surrounding areas already have a problem with flooding in West Horden. Building on greenbelt is only going to make this problem worse. The A127 and the railway are already overstretched and will not cope.

? Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes No

Comments

I don't agree with any of the sites in or around West Horden. We moved to a village to start our family. Doubling the size of the village is going to make us a TOWN which is not where we want to be!

? Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments

I do not think any of the sites along the A127 are appropriate. You only need to travel along the A127 between 7:00 to 9:00hrs and then again at 16:00hrs to 18:30 hrs to know the road cannot cope with the current traffic load. It certainly would not be able to cope with 500 more homes in West Horden as this would mean around 1000 more cars. It simply would not work & would be ~~causing~~ chaos

? Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas? Yes No

Comments maybe this could be an option or maybe there are brownfield sites where small picturesque villages could be made rather than concrete cities.

? Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

Comments

you should not develop greenbelt.

? Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Yes No

Comments If you build beautiful small villages there is no need to be on a highway network.

? Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Yes No

Comments It would be nice to get some ~~shops~~ with white shops in Brentwood. It is currently a bit of a miss match. I tend to use lakeside of the Mayflower estate.



Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes No

Comments

There is a lot of greenbelt surrounding us which is why we choose to live here. I think there should be a relocation package if you are going to build on us.



Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5



Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes No

Comments

What about the constant flooding in the village?

The current Roads and Rail cannot support growth along the A127.

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

Education, Healthcare, Transport, Green open spaces

I am not supporting any kind of development in West Hadden. We have spent a lot of money making our property meet our needs for our growing family. You are taking this away from us. We choose to live in a village with one pub & one school where we all know and support each other. Any development will destroy this aspect. The traveller sites will devalue our property. There is already a site unused near the Halfway house, why do we need more?
I cannot believe you will allow the lives of everyone who lives here to be destroyed in this way and I do not believe it will stop here once its started.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

