Internal use only

Comment No. 2657

Ack. date

1 2 FEB 2015



**Brentwood Borough Local Plan** 

# Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

## **Consultation questionnaire**

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

### **Personal Details**

Title: Me.	First Name: JACK	Last Name: THORPE
Organisation (if applica	able):	the to come to moved to
Job title (if applicable)	um removedere place 1944.	month is to the six designed
Address:	to right this family stall the in	
Post Code:	Telephone Num	nber:
Email Address:	owth along the A127 conidor, w	to the presidence parties of the grant to th

#### Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering  Yes  No  approaches to growth?
	The three areas have different requirements, 20 it seems logical to split them.
	· entended and production of the state of th
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes ☐ No ☑
YAS	Whe A127 corridor is already at or over caracity in much hours. If there is more building in this area, where will all the traffic go?
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes ☑ No □
	Comments Change of use of the industrial site to housing is logical as it is a brown field eite. However West Horndon villagers value their way of life and do not want to see a too great enlargement of the village.
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
,nasi	Comments A12 Borridor.  The A127 would need an extra lane to enter for additional traffic as a result of more housing. There may be room for the failding but there is a first negative in infrastructure.

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes ☑ No ☐ the edge of urban areas?
	Mere is more capacity in this area without too much panalty in future traffer requirements.
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments The green belt has been carefully protected in the part. Brownfeeld sites should be used where available.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
Manii	Comments Employment opportunities are necessary but they must be accessible by road or public transport.
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Brentwood Council should reduce business rates and parking charges to encourage more people to shop in Brentwood instead of out of town supermarkets.

? Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes ☑ No □

West Horndon would benefit greatly from the provision of a footbridge over the A127 to enable the easier use of Morndon Parks

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	Ja1-	2	3√	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2V	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4/	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3 🗸	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4./	5
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4/	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3/	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3 🗸	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2 🗸	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4 /
Woodland	1	2 🗸	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1 🗸	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3 🗸	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2/	3	4
Other - please specify	1 3521 1 0212:	हा किन्द्रिक स्ट्री	4-11-3 V-5	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes 🗹 No important issues to consider?
	Comments Graffie volumes on all local roads needs careful consideration. Also parking requirements for rail users.

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

of building in particular areas,

## Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

G12) Have we considered the math infrastructure issues? Arathorosther Yes II' No F. Important Issues to consider?

Laborate de la latera del latera de la latera de latera de la latera de la latera de la latera de la latera de latera de latera de la latera de latera de la latera de la latera de la latera de latera de la latera de latera de latera de latera de la latera de latera de latera de latera de latera de latera del latera della latera

C13-When do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

And the state of t

Frank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Ploses energy that you return comments to like Council by Spin on Treaday 171 sonury 2015 (see page 1 for details)