Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details



Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes approaches to growth?	No x
	I don't agree as the area of Doddinghurst has already had a large portion of development which previously was meant to be greenbelt. As a result there has been significant urban development with no additional infrastructure to sustain it. Roads are continually in poor repair and can't sustain the current usage, primary schools are at their optimum and the village bus service is poor so too many cars are already on the road. The surgery also is over capacity and does not provide a satisfactory service currently.	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes □	No 🗆
	Comments	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes x	No 🗆
	Comments The development of Plot 143 at the bottom of Peartree and Lime Grove is not appropriate as it will significantly increase road usage, in particular Peartree Lane due to the narrow and congested alternative access road via Lime Grove. The quantity of houses will also change the neighbourhood health and safety as currently the rural aspect of the road ensures that we have clean air to breath and our children have a significantly reduced likelyhood of road accidents. The size of the village around Peartree Lane has always ensured a large number of villagers know each other and neighbourhood watch is very affective. This will no longer be the case and likelyhood of burglary will increase. Together with the reduced countryside and significant urbanisation of Peartree Lane our house will greatly reduce in value and our lifestyle impaired. I strongly disagree with this location and believe that there are many more appropriate locations within the Borough which should be considered before this	
	appropriate locations within the Borough which should be considered before this one.	

رب	sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments	
.	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas? Yes □	No □
	Comments]
3	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?	
	Comments]
	You should consider sites that have better access to the main road and have significantly less impact on the current residents than the development proposed for the bottom of Peartree Lane.	
		J
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	No □
	Comments However increasing housing means there is a greater demand for employment in the area which needs to be sustainable.	

	 	
		1
<u> </u>	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically Yes	No □
•	sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	
	Comments	
?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area	No □
	Comments	
\ 5	Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following as	
	Very Very	

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Other – please specify: Clean Air and no noise pollution	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant	
Houses	1	2	3	4	

Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider?	Yes □	No 🗆
	Comments		
	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?		<u> </u>
•	Comments		

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)