

12 FEB 2015

Internal use only	4300
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY
or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name: GILLIAN	Last Name: GARDNER
Organisation (if applicable):		
Job title (if applicable):		
Address:	[REDACTED]	
Post Code:	Telephone Number:	[REDACTED]
Email Address:	[REDACTED]	

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

Kevin Nicholson

Subject:

FW: Housing Redevelopment, site 185 Rectory Chase

Begin forwarded message:

From: clarrie tremain [REDACTED]
Date: 15 January 2015 11:25:46 GMT
To: "Jackie Ranaghan" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Housing Redevelopment, site 185 Rectory Chase

Hi Jackie

We have had a few queries like this. I would have loved to have seen someone dropping envelopes anonomously through peoples doors in the dark of night!!!!

The official documentation we have in the office from Brentwood Borough Council, states the following:

"The best way of responding on the 'Strategic Growth Options Consultation' is to do so online at Brentwood Borough Council's website www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Apparently this is the quickest and easiest way to comment. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the document, to help guide responses.

Alternatively you can respond by completing a comment form, available from the Town Hall or downloaded from the website, and returning it to them via post or email.

You can email Planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Write to us at: Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY

The consultation period will run for 6 weeks from Tuesday 6th January until 5pm on Tuesday 17th February."

Alternatively you can write to the Borough Councillors, Keith Parker, Roger McCheyne and Ann Naylor and CC in the Parish Council.

Hope this helps in some way.

Kind regards
Clarrie

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackie Ranaghan [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]
Sent: 15 January 2015 11:13
To: clarrie tremain
Subject: Housing Redevelopment, site 185 Rectory Chase

Hi Clarrie,

?

Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes No

Comments

?

Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes No

Comments

I agree, but infrastructure is very weak (ie drainage) in Rectory Chase at the moment. Flooding could be a problem. Waiting time at the doctors is also high and the school is full.

?

Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes No

Comments

185 Rectory Chase. Development, of the type mentioned, would create chronic traffic problems. Access is very restricted. This site could only really cope with one or two houses.

?

Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments

A127. Although there are congestion problems here, the A12 is also suffering severe congestion problems.

?

Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?

Yes No

Comments

?

Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

Comments

Develop Greenfield sites on the edge of villages, which would then require additional infrastructure.

?

Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?

Yes No

Comments

?

Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?

Yes No

Comments

Retail in Brentwood is awful. ~~There~~ Far too many eating places but very few quality shops.



Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes No

Comments

Yes, along the stream (River Wid) at the back of 185 Rectory Chase. It ~~is~~ could be a lovely area, full of wildlife, and follow the foot path.



Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4	5
.....					



Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4
.....				

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes No

Comments

185
Congestion → Rectory Chase,
Could not take level of traffic,
proposed development would create,
Drainage ~~and~~ is also very important.

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

Drainage, education, healthcare,
road maintenance, preservation of
community leisure and culture.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015
(see page 1 for details)