Dunton Gorden Sulowb is my preferred option

internal use only	2269
Comment No.	
Ack. date	

1 3 FEB 2015



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name: SUSAN	Last Name: LISTER
Organisation (if app		
Job title (if applicab	ole):	
Address:		
Post Code:	Telephone Numbe	r:
Email Address:		

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes Zi approaches to growth?	No 🗆
	Comments	uman
	regio Grovan Options Consultation	ienne Tenne
	etimachesup noilei	neatr
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes	No 🗗
Y.A.	Comments No. The A127 is always busy, and there are frequent roadworks. The A12 flows much better. The A127 is prove to much better. The A127 is prove to flooding, which causes further delays.	emina his ya ismina shino
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🗹	No E
	Comments The areas 0204021 are brownfield industrial sites, which are already in the superior land supply. If H Soo more nouses are puilt here, knot will change weak Horndon by about 80% increase in housing. 037AB+C 038AaB+126 are greenbelt. This would be inappropriate development, a would cause and inappropriate development, a would cause and properly increase frequently water looped property.	000
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments Durbon Carden Suburb is preferred. It has a great deal of potential for grown, as almoign it is in our partiel, it is almoign it is in our partiel, it is outside the village. Pablist buffer zones anded be established, however	in Sen m ciQ c na mijuc

3	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes Yes No D
	Comments Yes. Brentwood should use the available sites for significant development - these areas. As previously states, I believe there is more capacity on the A12 rather than the A127
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments Brownfield siles should be developed Greenfield should not be developed attended brownfield and should be regarded as secrosance.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments Transport by bus from railway skarions rusk be eskablished.
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments

2	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area	Yes 🗗 No 🗆
	where you live?	

Comments
We have a park in weak Horndon. The
potential development of the Dunton
Garden Suburb should include mose
open spaces for us, as DSG will impace
us.

? Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	(3)	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominan
	1	2	(3)	4
Houses		2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings		2	(3)	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1		(3)	4
Farmland		(2)	3	4
Woodland	1	(2)	3	1
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	+
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	(3)	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	44
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4

Com	ments Occusionalm S	stated, the A12	7 Struggle	2000
no	indornation	on seems do k	pe availe	باصري
	cac			
N. C.				

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments
Health Education + Transport are what
I consider to be priorities. These must
be established sooner, rather than
later.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

Heading Estimates with the wind and the contract the

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnains

Hoch g ensure that you rettin comments to the Council by Som on President To Pentusity 2015 (see page 1 for rigidity)