| Internal use only |
|-------------------|
| Comment No.       |
| Ack. date         |



# **Brentwood Borough Local Plan**

# **Strategic Growth Options Consultation**

January 2015

# **Consultation questionnaire**

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

#### **Personal Details**



### **Questions**

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

| ? | Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth? | Yes □     | No |               |
|---|---|-----------|----|---------------|
|   | Comments  |           |    |               |
|   |   |           |    |               |
|   |   |           |    |               |
|   |   |           |    | $\overline{}$ |
|   | Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?                         | Yes □     | No |               |
|   | Comments  |           |    |               |
|   |   |           |    |               |
|   |   |           |    |               |
|   |   |           |    | _             |
| ? | Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?                    | Yes<br>X□ | No |               |
|   | Comments  |           | 1  |               |

The proposals set out for site 143 would be detreemental to the lives of the people in the surrounding area. With regard to Lime Grove the road is hardly wide enough for adequate parking of the existing residents. More traffic would put a strain on the community. It is offensive for you to dismiss the value of the smallholding between the two residential houses No's 77 and 79.

The residents do not share your opinion that the smallholding is run down and in our view this area enhances our outlook .

We moved to this area because we wanted to live in a quiet area and strongly oppose having a childrens playground as our new outlook. Ayaour proposal does not take into consideration the views of the existing residents. I imagine the only people to agree with the proposal are the ones that would gain financially.

It seems the question of the problematic infrastructure has been undermined. Speaking from experience the doctors surgery finds it difficult to accommodate appointments with the existing residents of the village without the addtion of 50 more families without all the other proposed sites..The school has its full intake of children.

Having to deal with at least 100 cars accessing a small space would put an excessive strain on the available routes .

|   | In addition I would advise that as a retired fire fighter of 29 years service I would caution against this proposal as access for Fire Fighting and other Emergency services would be entirely impractical and form a very significant hazard to life for any occupants of the proposed development and existing residents within Peartree Lane or Lime Grove |      |          |
|---|---|------|----------|
| ? | Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the   |      |          |
|   | sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?   |      |          |
|   | Comments  |      |          |
|   |   |      | <u> </u> |
|   | Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes the edge of urban areas?   | No E | ]        |
|   | Comments  |      |          |
| ? | Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for   |      |          |
|   | Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or   |      |          |

brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

#### Comments

This question appears to be deliberately loaded so as to achieve a specific objective in securing a reply. It absolutely depends on which village this question applies to and the circumstances prevailing... The proposal for Site 143 in Doddinghurst is not on the edge of the village and it is in the middle of an already fully housed residential area .This proposal will impact to the detriment of all existing home owners in the area. It is not acceptable to ruin our lives because **Brentwood** Council need to meet their targets.

| ? | Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?       | Yes □        | No 🗆    |
|---|---|--------------|---------|
|   | Comments  |              |         |
| ? | Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? | Yes □        | No 🗆    |
|   | Comments  |              |         |
| ? | Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?   | Yes □        | No 🗆    |
|   | Comments  Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you  | u live (on s | a scale |

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

| Aspect:                       | Very<br>Low | Low | Average | High | Very<br>High |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----|---------|------|--------------|
| Scenic Beauty / Attractivness | 1           | 2   | 3       | 4    | 5            |

| Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wildlife Interest                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Historic Interest                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Tranquility                      | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Other – please specify:          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

| Aspect:                                   | Absent | Occasional | Frequent | Predominant |
|---|--------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Houses                                    | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Commercial / Industrial buildings         | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Nature Reserves / Wildlife                | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Farmland                                  | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Woodland                                  | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Degraded / Derelict / Waste land          | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.) | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Leisure / Recreation Facilities           | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |
| Other – please specify:                   | 1      | 2          | 3        | 4           |

| important issues to consider? |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Comments                      |  |
|                               |  |

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

## Comments

An appropriate design study is required

| Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire  |
|---|
| Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details) |
|   |
|   |
|   |