internal use only	
Comment No.	1048
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name: BARBAR	A J:	Last Name: MEACHER
Organisation (if applica	able):		
Job title (if applicable):			
Address:			
Post Code:	Telephone f	Number:	
Email Address:			

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes No Approaches to growth?
	The Proposed amount of New houses is proposterous The vill have a massive impact on queenbried sites Roads, schools etc will be unable to cope with large numbers of men houses and the reope who luie in thorn
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes No to
	No, I bind it insulting that we these enormous issues are being planned without our approval and blessing. No doubt greedy developer are subbing their hands with glee at the probits to be made
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes No D
	Comments. Villages like Herongate and Ingrave would be totally unable to cope with a large inblux of how housing. There are no facilities to cope with the extra children care etc
3	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Comments Land between west Horndon and Laindon

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?
	Comments Yes, but in moderation
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments Develop Brownbield sites only
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments within Reason! Yes
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments Not so many restaurants and pubs. but a vibrant high street with a good variety of shop. Also keep the Multi-stoney car park otherwise the Ongar Road will be constantly. blucked with tradbic

2	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area			No 💆
	where you live?			

comments we are intin easy reach of granbrold sites and woodland - I hope this will always remain like this.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	(3)	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	(2)	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	- 4	(5)
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	(D)	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	(1)	2	3	4
Farmland	1	(2)	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	4
Other - please specify: Lovely Country Parks	1	2	(3)	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider?		No 🗆
	Comments		

The proposed amount of housing is for too high - the infastructure cannot possibly cope with this! Pressurise the government to reduce this amount

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

More frequent,

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

