

Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY
or by email to **planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk**

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at **www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan**.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.



Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes
YES

No

Comments



Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes
YES

No

Comments



Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?

Yes
YES

No

Comments

I think brownfield and regeneration sites should be considered wherever possible. The transport and road links are not suitable for some of the expansions mentioned – this particularly applies to the villages north of Brentwood. In many areas there are not enough doctors and schools to take the extra residents.

Re sites 011 – the farm house is listed and not shown – can it just be demolished? I cannot see how access will be gained for the housing on these sites, current access is on a small farm lane from a mini roundabout. This is very unclear.

The density of housing is a concern. Our gardens are north facing, with very close housing, possibly 3 storey, we will lose light.

Because we neighbour fields we have very large trees which overhang the fields (at the wish and specific request of the farmer that lived there for many years), will we lose the trees in our garden if the building is very close to the fences?

One of the 011 plots, the one adjacent to the Ongar road is bog and marsh. How will drainage be achieved, and in doing so will it create a drainage problem for the houses already there.

I am in favour of social housing and think this should be prioritised and maintained as such. The small Jasmine terrace houses were 50/50 housing, but now only a couple appear to be so, can we have assurances that future social housing will remain as such.

? Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments

? Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas? Yes No
yes

Comments

Option 2 transport corridor growth is my preference

? Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

Comments

Brownbelt yes
Greenfield no

But only with transport, road, local services expansion firmly in place

? Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Yes No
yes

Comments

? Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a “Town Centre First” approach should be taken to retail development? Yes No

Comments

? Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live? Yes No

Comments

I don't know

? Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
Historic Interest	1	2	<u>3</u>	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

? Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	<u>4</u>
Commercial / Industrial buildings	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	<u>4</u>
Farmland	1	2	3	<u>4</u>
Woodland	1	<u>2</u>	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	<u>2</u>	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	<u>4</u>
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	<u>2</u>	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes YES No

Comments
Insufficient mention of local services – schools and doctors for instance, which have long lead in times and some of which the local authority has no control over expansion

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015
(see page 1 for details)