1 6 FEB 2015



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name: KLLA	Last Name: BRADET
Organisation (if a	pplicable):	
Job title (if applica	ahle).	•

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes M Approaches to growth?	10 0
	Comments Both forther development should focus on Bre Where there is infractioned to suppoint the showing requirement. This is not the case for the villages	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	No 🗆
	Comments	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes	No □
	Comments Black work Village does not have the ability to coper Black work Village does not have the ability to coper brith further development - ire The school has a wanter list with further development - ire The school has a wanter list already. The Bads are inadequate.	
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments A Law purpose birt development e.g. Dinton algorden BUDUTA has to be the way forward to cope with the amount of houses required.	

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes 12 No D the edge of urban areas?
	Comments
5	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Guerfield sites must be kept out of any future planning at all costs. Brownfield sites could be considered where appropriate
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments absolutely yes. The existing roads cont cope.
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	So more bars - Brentwood is a rogo area for most people at night. Better balance of americas is required.

2	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area	Yes □	No 🔯
ſ	where you live?		

We are adequately catered for the village and we want to preserve what we have,

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	. 3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	5
		Low 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	Low Average 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	Low Average 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1 /	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	3
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1_1_	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

	ortant issues to consider?	
Con	Susk to underline predious comments us such as Blackmone is not the no	ay forward-
Q13:	: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure sp	nending should be?
,		
l Linn	ments opgrade the AID + AIDT	
55111	of Jane	
00111		

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

