Internal use only	4855
Comment No.	
Ack. date	Mark to the state of the state

17 FEB 2015



**Brentwood Borough Local Plan** 

# Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

### Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

#### **Personal Details**

Title: MR& MRS First Name	SAMUEL	Last Name: COUSINS
Organisation (if applicable):		
Job title (if applicable):		
Address:		
Post Code:	Telephone Number:	
Email Address:		

#### Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering  Yes  No  A approaches to growth?
	Comments Small rivages north of the A12 do not have adequate transport links. Increasing housing in Black more by 10% will change the aesthetics of the rivage forever and consequently will no longer be a rivage.
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes ☎ No □
	Comments
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🖾 No 🗆
	Comments Biackmore-Sewage capacity unavailable at The field area one of these sites.  Phone lines are at apacity at orchard Piece.  The primary school is at capacity.  The nivage roads are not able to cope with increased car use. The wildlife will suffer-born ouls, little alls a tawny one all present behind orchard piece.
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Comments The A127 Cornidor. It contains the single settlement of Horndon and not several and the A12 and nor then vivages cannot cope with any more traffic conglotion, including Brentwood through the town and onger Road. Services would suffer more and they are already

straned.

!	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes ☐ No Extra the edge of urban areas?
	Comments Again, services and infrastructure in these surrounding areas are already at or over coping capacity. The towns and vivages around these areas are developed enough—the traffic is always built up, roads mable to cope, quere into small towns built up at throughout the day, school already at capacity, services flui and don't run enough.
	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments  Neither aption is preferable, constraining opportunity for growth is a need in some places to preserve our greenbest land, it's wildlife, asset to rinages, and landscape character. The areas just avenit suitable— already constrained transport links, seeinge at capacitis.  priore lines at capacity, schools at capacitis, wildlife already
	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments Between Thurrock, Basidon and Havering where highways and towns are less congested than A12 and north, perhaps,
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically Yes X No D sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments

where you live?	pace provision in the area	
Comments		
		8 42 Kg

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	(4)	5
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	(5)
1	2	3	4	5
		Low	Low Average  1 2 3  1 2 3  1 2 3  1 2 3  1 2 3  1 2 3	Low     Average       1     2       1     2       1     2       1     2       3     4       1     2       3     4       1     2       3     4       1     2       3     4

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	(1)	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	(4)
Farmland	1	2	3	(4)
Woodland	1	2	3	(4)
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

[	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes   No   important issues to consider?
	Comments  Brentwood Borough is beautiful and attractive because of its rural areas and windlife. Please don't take this away and squander its environment is the quality of life it offers by developing the areas considered treasures to so many.
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?
	Green in frastructure.

## Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

