Internal use only	4852
Comment No.	
Ack. date	** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name: JUDITH	Last Name: WOOD
Organisation (if applic		WOOD.
Job title (if applicable)		
Address:		
Post Code:	Telephone Numb	er:
Email Address:		-

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes 🗹 I approaches to growth?	No 🗆
	Comments	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	No 🗆
	Comments	
		,
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes	No 🗆
	Comments it would seem logical the adopt the A127 com ilor option especially if co-operation obtained will Bailden counts plans. However as 9 do not know the over well my opinion may well be in conflict will the opinion of west throaden widesty into North of the Some limited develop—I could be possible North of the borough hat only Timber necessary the transportant links, schools, seway as	
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments A 127 Carridor if this can be secondly achieved	

۲	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?	Yes 🗹	No □
	Comments		
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?		
	Comments Poleally brownfule sixtes		
			1
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	Yes 🗹	No □
	Comments		
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	Yes 🗷,	No 🗆
	Comments However there is a need to setain existing sees shops in the wides area ey is villages to manifer the accessibility of characters. Lossing shops in the willarges would be a driest for local infallitax	lail	

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes ☑ No ⊡

Comments les there would be if the sites at the top of woolland way & Archard piece were stored to an allotient area or nadure reserve!!!! The agricultural land stort be preserved at all costs.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	(4)-	> (5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Tranquility	-1	2	3	4	(5)
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	4
	Tild heldger.	2	3	4
Farmland	1 0	2	3	(4)
Woodland	1	2	3	(4)
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	4
Other - please specify: Village green, allotment accordis-	, 1	2	3	4

? Q1	2: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes 🗹 No 🛘 portant issues to consider?
Со	Solved Plan!
	3: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?
Bc	mments Road upkeap. Health come provision in the Vilages. Education That marks Chee belt Recreat facilities Green infrottingle. See P.31 Sechi 6ig.
NB Serr Old	Not infrostructure but NBHe Bus rice most go do the Health centre. people are depend to on Public trasport. Il ald like to prosest in the strongest possible Lune
at the	short timescale of completion of this questioned on only distributed towards the end of this week in 12/2, insufficient or inaccurate supports information.
Γhank you	for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

