Internal use only 2608 Comment No. Ack. date

1 7 FEB 2015



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: M	First Name:	GRAHAM	Last Name: HESKETH
Organisation (if a	applicable):	The state of the s	Last Hame. HESKE III
Job title (if applic			
	ř		
Address:			
Post Code:		Telephone Number:	
Email Address:		, stopriorie (dumber:	

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?
	Comments Growth is essential to life. However, how do me grow? The idea of a new garder city is appealing frontly thing youth is maintained in an orderly vivy. The growth of villyes is not describe. The infrastrictive is not there for growth. B) A read need for bute happine systems. The bruke is the H25 if will become fill and then while do the yo?. c) Agree but round problems the about. Yes IN NO IT
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes LY No LE
	Comments A) Northey Me borrigh comment 2.44 agree, Comment 2.15 charges B) Brownly agree 1
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes D No D
	Comments The vollage garde sets at Durton shall be the preferred option. As skell enlarging vollages courses problems re sound, education, rounds and then vollage to belove town life. Soon there is no different between the vollage a town.
	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Comments The Durkon sute.

	Us: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes ☑ No I the edge of urban areas?
	Comments The A12 is an obvious grath when alongsule the corridor. The house is again and infrastruture and urban creep. The A12 is a very busy road. Unless planned went it will become even been and assuper the economic well being of the region.
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments The green and beautyful land wall become not Jameslen. But that of the flower Conne. We have a duty to protect at thefare brun full sites are the preferent option. One ye to Greenfull sites the next step to to keep the next but of greenfull next to the site just clurified.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Businesses need to arms bransport links gradely. Lowing them near Shatyre hughery retworks in senseble providing sufferences we teter in order to consider the impact of their on the surranding order and general environments.
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments Brutised nech a 'horagest'. A store that was encourse pupe to use . At the same time the individual businesse must be sufego wall. Pathing pries are unjustymble. In Homemby the charge 20p for 2hims. Mute the place somewhere for pupes to bone and enjoy the experience.

2	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	
	where you live?	

Comments Affe ready 20 ys of liver in the velige it is not table the invesse in huffer and the noise that has and with the years. The thought of these two ingredients invesses and he is more agent spen proving is notationary. Reple live in such their to get any from less uper spine convorment. I may shall we have he provide most agent spine provide: Use Comments little I.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(5)
Other - please specify: Bruthry Jame.	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominan
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	(4)
Farmland	1	2	3	(4)
Woodland	1	2	(3)	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	(2)	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons	1	(2)	3	4
etc.) Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	4
Other - please specify: Wildlik - give it a chare!	1	2	3	4

Yes
No

Γ	important issues to consider?
	Comments Increasing the size of a vellipe by say 40 homes bright a mayor probe to the infrastration, real interest. I will increase. Noise a happe will inverse. Noise a happe will inverse. Unsocial behavior may will develop. Flooding carlet inverse due to the congretion of feels.
	count he ruly is not me. The will invoice. Noise a happy will
	in veuse. Unsocue behaviour may well develop. Flooding could invoice due to
	The congreting of fulls.

think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

In the colu, the route are bout , Potherles come and don't go! Albertute the areas that flood. One the past couple of your the same planer on the reach flood. That he make what it mus be the when fully drappear. when will be water go? Prusher for infrastructure studie be reach and flood aller when.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

