Internal use only 1996
Comment No.
Ack. date

17 FEB 2015



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: C\$\$ F.	First Name: Rocer	Last Name: Keesu		
Organisation (if applica	able): BBC. AND BLAKEMON	RE PARISH COURCILLOR		

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes approaches to growth?	No Ø
	Comments I would disagree with Any LARCE Scale DEVELOPMENT ON GREEN BELT LAD. SMALL IN FILL PROTECTS IN THESE AREAS SHOULD BE SUPPORTED THERE ARE MANY UNDOCCUPIED PROPERTIES IN THE VILLAGES WHICH WOULD BE TOBOL ROWTHE AREA ACCOMMENTATION NEGATING THE AMOUNT OF BUILDING INTHE AREA	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes	No 🗆
	Comments WITH IMPROVEMENTS TO THE AIDT THIS CORPIDE SHOULD BE THE PREFERED OPTION, SIMILARLY THE AID CORREDOR HAS THE INFRASTRICTURE OF ROODS AND STATIONS. FOR PROPERSO DEVELOPMENT, THE NORTH BROWNOOD OPTION WOULD NOT HAVE THE SYSTAINABLE INFRASTRICTURE FOR MANDED EVELOPMENT	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes	No 🗆
	Comments DUNTON GARDON SUBJURD WOULD TAKE A SIZEDBLE NUMBER OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS, BUILD NOG ALANGE THE ALD CORREDOR TOWARDS MOUNTWESTING ROMINAROUT CLAPGATE BROWN FIRST SITE IN STOUDON MASSES, SCRAPTAGED AT MOUNTWESTING ROMDABONT BOTH SUITABLE DEVELOPMENT ARROY	
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments Duton Gardon Suburb	

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes The edge of urban areas?	No 🗆
	Comments NORTH ALDING THE AD STAND OF CHEKMSFORD REED AND THE O'LD SCRAPYARD STAND AT MONTHESSING ROLLEDGEST. HOLLINGSINDRIN TURF BUSINESS IN NAGS HOLD LANE ADDACONT TO TUNCTION 28 M.25	
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?	
	Comments DEVELOP BROWFIED STEE SUCH AS CLAPGATE IN STRUDON MASSEY AND THORY PRIDEY SCRAPYORS	
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the Mes Most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	lo 🗆
	Comments ON BOTH AIDT AND AID CORRODORS. LAND ADTRONT TO JUNCTION 28 M 25 STITABLE FOR THOUSERS OFFICE DEVELOPMENT	
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	lo 🗆
	Comments WILLIAM HOUTED WAY IS COUCIAL TO THE FITCHE OF THE TOWN'S ECONOMY.	

Comments
SHALL INFILL PLOTS FOR FIRST TIME BUYERS AND
THOSE WISHING TO DOWNSIZE

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	(4)	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	(4)	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(5)
Other please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	1
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	(4)
Farmland	1	2	3	(4)
Woodland	1	2	3	
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	(2)	3	1
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	(2)	3	4
Lelsure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	
Other – please specify:			(3)	4
	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes 🗹 No 🗆 important issues to consider?

RURAL COMMUNITIES ARE ALRESTON AT THERE LIMITS FOR
SCHOOLS DECTORS SURCERIES AND COMMUNITY BUILDINGS
TO DEVELOP IN THESE AREAS WOLD UFFET THE BALANCE
OF COMMUNITAL LIFE

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments
IN THE DUNTON PROJECT THE INFRASTRACTURE FOR
THE AREA COURS BE ESTIMATED AND NEW SCHOOLS DOCTORS
MEDICAL COSTRES AND COMMUNITY AND LEISTE FACILITIES
COURS BE BUILT TO ACCOMMENTE THE THOUSAND IN PROLATION

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)