Internal use only	4856
Comment No.	
Ack, date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MR.	First Name: FREDERIC	Last Name; Sykes
Organisation (if ap		- Jaes
Job title (if applicat	中国的中央公司共产品的200g年,ARTHA 共產型工程的企图的企图,1900年的企图的企图,在1900年的企图的企图。	
Address:		
Post Code:	Telephone Number	
Email Address:		
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

	roaches to growth?	3
Col	mments	7
*		
	그녀는 나는 그리고 가는 아이는 그들이 사이 제공이 되었다고 있다. 그는	
11		
02.	Do you caree with the	7
WZ.	Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes	10) 21 1
Con	nments	- 1
4 - 3		
	이 이 시간을 되어 있는데, 그는 이 얼마는 생각을 하지만 하는데 하다 하다.	
		2 1
Q3: I	Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?	- -
Q3: 1	Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes	/ _N
	Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🗹	/ _N
Com		\
Com	ments ALLY CORRIDOR PROVIDES ACCESS TO MAYOR ROADS	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Com	ments ALLY CORRIDOR PROVIDES ACCESS TO MAYOR ROADS	
Com	ments 127 CORRIDOR PROVIDES ACCESS TO MAYOR KOADS	
Com	MENTER ON THEE SITES WOOLD CASE MATTER READ	
(Com	MENTER ON THEE SITES WOOLD CASE MATTER READ	
Com A 1: Q4: G sites	ments A 127 CORRIDOR PROVIDES ACCES TO MAYOR ROADS 27 A MRS, MRS. DEVELOPMENT ON THEE SITES WOOLD CASE MATER READ Siven the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the out forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
Com A 1: Q4: G sites	ments A 127 CORRIDOR PROVIDES ACRES TO MAYOR ROADS 27. A MRS, MRS. DEVELOPMENT ON STHER SITES WOOLD CASE MATTER ROAD Siven the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the out forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
Com A 1: Q4: G sites	ments A 127 CORRIDOR PROVIDES ACCES TO MAYOR ROADS 27 A MRS, MRS. DEVELOPMENT ON THEE SITES WOOLD CASE MATER READ Siven the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the out forward do you think is the best location for growth?	

	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes I No I the edge of urban areas?
	Not if This incorporates thatton, where incluse a line recel access to west is limited to minor Rough Reduced access to walks. Woods Aniciol Silos
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Jeenfield sites on the edges of Villeges are Rey & The pucking of Course on Those areas, Development in These areas should be avoided at all lasts
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?

? Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area Yes I No II where you live?

Not unless the State Social benefits of green bett wie induded / Removed

? Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very	Low	Average	High	Very High
	1	2	3	4	(5)
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness		2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1 1	2	(3)	4	5
Historic Interest	-	2	3	(4)	5
Tranquility Other please specify:	1,	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Aspect:		2	3	4
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	(1)	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1 1	2	3	(4)
Farmiand	1	2	(3)	. 4
Woodland "	(1)	2	. 3	. 4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons	1	2	3	4
etq.) Leisure / Recreation Facilities	. 1	2	(3)	4
Other - please specify: FOOTPATHS	1	2	3	(

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes D No D'important issues to consider?				
	Comments PROUDE GREATER RETENTION TO THE EFFECT OF REDUCING GREEN BELT - THE MATOR ATTRACTION OF LIVING IN BRENTWOOD.				

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments	
DEADLY DEVELOPMENT OF AL	IL BROWN FIELD STES EXCLOPING
& TORN CAVINE DEVELOPMENT.	
3 RIORITISE JoiNT PLAN WITH	BARKBAN - DUNCTON
4) UTILISE SCRUBLAND ON SO	OUTH OF AIRT.
*	

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)