Internal use only
Comment No.
Ack. date



# Strategic Growth Options Consultation January 2015

### **Consultation questionnaire**

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

#### **Personal Details**



#### Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?	Yes □	No ⊠
Comments		
Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	Yes □	No 🗵
Comments		
Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?	Yes x	No □
The use of greenfield and brownfield sites, particularly in Blackmore is not appropriate. The village to sustain this kind of growth, the local school could not sustain this kind of growth as it already is g beyond it's size. The new doctors in Doddinghurst is already becoming over subscribed. The transport does not serve the village well at all, however it is not economically viable to do so. The small road junctions, nor the pond bridge, nor the tight corners are not able to cope with heavy plant traffic that come with such a development. It would be totally out of character for the village environment and its unique quality. This area for development does not make sense to make a meaningful impact on the mass development that is being forced on the borough by government.	growing ort system as, nor the would undermine	
Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	the	
Comments		
The Dunton Garden suburb. West of Brentwood Ingrave/Herongate.		
Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?	Yes x	<sup>-</sup> No □

	Comments			
	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfiel the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites			
Г	within the Green Belt)?			
	No. This villages are steeped in charm, historical significance and retain their character for sites exist. To allow them to be used starts a precedence that would irreversibly change forever and any decision to use them would be a poor and rash one.			
most su	enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the ustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic y network?	Yes ⊠	No □	
Comm	ents		]	
	only allow local and national business to have the confidence to set up at these sites to the transport network.	enable easy		
	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economicall sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach shaken to retail development?	•	Yes ⊠	No □
[	Comments			
	Yes			
L				
	Q9: Are there opportunities for Yes ☐ more open space provision in the area where you live?	No x		
	Comments			

There are sufficient open spaces but that does not mean they should be used for character changing development

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	х5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	x4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	x5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	x5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	x5
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	х3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	x2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	x4
Farmland	1	2	3	x4
Woodland	1	2	3	x4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	x2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	x2	3	4

Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	х3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider?	Yes ⊠	No □
Comments		]
Yes, good infrastructure is key to this proposal. Environmental, wildlife and historical issues should be considered at all cost		
Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?		
Comments		

## Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)