

17 FEB 2015

Internal use only	4845
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



**BRENTWOOD  
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

## Brentwood Borough Local Plan

# Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

### Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

**Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY**  
or by email to [planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk)

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

### Personal Details

Title: <b>MR</b>	First Name: <b>NICHOLAS</b>	Last Name: <b>ASHTON</b>
Organisation (if applicable):		
Job title (if applicable): <b>RETIRED</b>		
Address:		
Post Code:	Telephone Number:	
Email Address:		

### Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at [www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan).

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?

Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes  No

**Comments** I AND MOST PEOPLE I SPEAK TO SEE NO PRESSING NEED FOR GROWTH IN BRENWOOD. WE FEEL THIS IS BEING THRUST UPON US BY CENTRAL GOVERNMENT. IF THERE WAS LOCAL PLANNING AUTONOMY THE CONCEPT WOULD BE THROWN OUT

?

Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes  No

**Comments** NO TOO MUCH GREEN BELT AND AREAS THAT THE COUNCIL HAVE CHOSEN TO CALL 'GRABING'

?

Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes  No

**Comments**  
107 COULD BE RESIDENTIAL  
042 HAS PLANNING PERMISSION BUT HAS NOT BEEN DEVELOPED SO MUCH FOR 'URGENT NEED'  
THE HOUSING PROPOSAL FOR THE CROWN IN GATESTONE SEEMED REASONABLE WITH GOOD PARKING FACILITIES - THE PARISH COUNCIL APPEARED EAGER

?

Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

**Comments**

? Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas? Yes  No

Comments THERE IS A NEED FOR VILLAGES TO KEEP IDENTITY AND NOT BECOME A RIBBON SPRAWL

? Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)? Yes  No

Comments BROWNFIELD ONLY YES/NO BOXES NOT VALID FOR MULTIPLE OPTIONS

? Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Yes  No

Comments

? Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Yes  No

Comments OH FOR BRENTWOOD TO BE MORE LIKE CHELMSFORD

? Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live? Yes  No

Comments

? Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other – please specify: .....	1	2	3	4	5

? Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify: .....	1	2	3	4

WHAT A SILLY PRESENTATION HOW CAN THESE BE 'OCCASIONAL' OR 'FREQUENT'? WAS SOMEBODY PAID TO PRODUCE THIS?

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes  No

**Comments**

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

**Comments**

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire**

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015  
(see page 1 for details)

10

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

5300 S. DICKINSON DRIVE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: 773-936-3700

FAX: 773-936-3700

WWW.PHYSICS.UCHICAGO.EDU

ADMISSIONS OFFICE

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

5300 S. DICKINSON DRIVE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: 773-936-3700

FAX: 773-936-3700

WWW.PHYSICS.UCHICAGO.EDU