Internal	use	only
Comr	neni	No.

Ack. date

1 8 FEB 2015



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION*

First Name: Lean A	Last Name: 4
pplicable):	Last Name: CERTIN S
,	
Telephone Numi	ner
	pplicable): Telephone Numi

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

	Yes No 🗆
?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes No Li approaches to growth?
	Comments
	Have? Yes No
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of the se areas? Yes No D
	Comments The North area of the Borrigh. does not have adequate transport connections or other essential finalities to make the development of mare than a very few new homes a feasible proposition.
	other essential finalities to med homes a federable
	of more than a way of
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🗆 No 🗅
	Comments C & 2 above
	(N.B. Was unable to access details of planning applications for a particular sites hoted in the
	applications for aparticular sites listelle membra,
	Consultation Document online. Reference aumbre, Consultation Document online. Reference aumbre, beyonds or roads gave "No results found".)
	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Comments Because of the existing transport
	cas acclient (A127); nature of lerroun. and
	You growth - from the information provided -
	Durbon Garden Suburb would appear to be the most suitable site.
	THE PROOF

	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes No U
	this would appear to make more sense than releasing sites on the edge of villages is more rural awas.
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
?	PREFERABLE TO DEVELOP BROWNFIELD RAPHER THAN GREENFIELD STRES BUT ANY DEVELOPMENT OF GREEN BELT SUMMED BE MINIMISED Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Comments
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments

?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes ☑ No □
	Comments	

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Tranquility	1	2	3		- 0
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
dapect.	E IV ST THE ST TO BE	(2)	3	4
Houses			3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings		2	(3)	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	(4)
Farmland	11	2	(3)	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons	1		3	4
etc.) Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	4
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4

	<u> </u>
	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes D No D important issues to consider?
	Comments
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?
	Comments
	No.
1.	With a consultation of this importance it would
	have been better for Brentwood awail to
	have notified all residents direct, and with sufficient
	With a consultation of the importance, it would have been better for Brentwood Guncil to have retified all residents direct, and with sufficient advance retice. Seemingly, many people across
	the Borough have only become aware of it
	because their individual Parish amus have notified
	them in the last to days or so. \$
2.	Access to the relevant documents for those
	without internet facilities should be made easier - this
	Jam should only be filled in with reference to
	the complete consultaria document which many will
	note have seen. Even voing online sources, the was
	your from smagnifoncers to locate the appropriate / latest
Thank	for from straight formand to locate the appropriate / latest decements or to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)