

Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY
or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MR	First Name: JOHN	Last Name: MCCREARY
Organisation (if applicable):		
Job title (if applicable): RETIRED		
Address: [REDACTED]		
Post Code: [REDACTED]	Telephone Number: [REDACTED]	
Email Address:		

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?

Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes No

Comments THE IDEA OF CONSTANT GROWTH IS RIDICULOUS, VILLAGES ARE BEING TURNED INTO TOWNS, THIS IS A NATIONAL PROBLEM NOT A LOCAL ONE, IN THE NORTH OF ENGLAND DIRECT HOUSES ARE BEING DULLED DOWN

?

Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes No

Comments BY ALL MEANS CONSIDER THE ISSUES, PROVIDING THAT ~~AT~~ THE ANSWER IN Q1 IS CONSIDERED

?

Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?

Yes No

Comments AS ONE WHO IS OPPOSED TO THE ENVISAGED GROWTH THERE SEEMS TO BE LITTLE POINT IN COMMENTING ON ANY INDIVIDUAL SITE

?

Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments NONE; THE A127 IS BECOMING INTO A BUILT UP CORRIDOR FROM LONDON TO SOUTHEND



Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?

Yes No

Comments

THE PREVIOUS ANSWERS DEAL WITH THIS



Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

Comments

NO, NO, NO



Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?

Yes No

Comments

TURN THE DISUSED OFFICE BLOCKS INTO FACTORIES



Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?

Yes No

Comments

BUT NO MORE SUPER MARKETS

?

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes No

Comments

INSTEAD OF FARMLAND BEING SOLD TO DEVELOPERS; USE IT FOR LEISURE

?

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5 X
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4 X	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5 X
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4 X	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5 X
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

?

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2 X	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4 X
Woodland	1	2	3	4 X
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1 X	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4 X
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2 X	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes No

Comments

THIS WHOLE PROGRAMME SHOULD HAVE BEEN REJECTED; WE HAVE AN M.P. WHO IS A MEMBER OF THE GOVERNMENT WHAT IS HIS PART IN THIS?..

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

← THE PREVIOUS ANSWERS DEAL WITH THIS.

FOR A CONSULTATION PROCESS THIS MUST BE SEEN AS A DISGRACE. WHILE THERE HAS BEEN TALK OF GROWTH - ONLY TODAY (16/2/2015) HAVE WE SEEN CONSULTATION DOCUMENTS, IT HAS TO BE ASKED JUST WHO HAS BEEN CONSULTED

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 10th March 1870.

The letter is addressed to the Governor and is signed by the Secretary of the State. It contains the following text: "I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration."

The letter is dated 10th March 1870 and is signed by the Secretary of the State.

The second part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 10th March 1870. The report is addressed to the Governor and is signed by the Secretary of the State. It contains the following text: "I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration."