

4833

Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



**BRENTWOOD  
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Brentwood Borough Local Plan**

**Strategic Growth Options Consultation**

**January 2015**

**Consultation questionnaire**

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

**Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY**  
or by email to [planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk)

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

**Personal Details**

Title: MR	First Name: PAUL	Last Name: LAMMIN
Organisation (if applicable):		
Job title (if applicable):		
Address: [REDACTED]		
Post Code: [REDACTED]	Telephone Number: [REDACTED]	
Email Address: [REDACTED]		

**Questions**

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at [www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan).

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?

Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes  No

**Comments**

THE CONTINUAL SUGGESTED LARGE SCALE DEVELOPMENTS OF PRODOMINATELY GREENBELT LAND IS UNACCEPTABLE. THE SMALL VILLAGES OF BRENTWOOD ARE HISTORIC AND DO NOT NEED DEVELOPING INTO AN INNER CITY STYLE EXTENSION OF LONDON.

?

Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes  No

**Comments**

THE TONE OF WORDING USED SUGGESTS SMALL DEVELOPMENT IN VILLAGES WITH MINIMAL USE OF GREEN BELT LAND, HOWEVER THIS DOES NOT MATCH UP WITH THE PROPOSED SITES, WITH FOR EXAMPLE 028C + 192 LARGE AREAS OF GREEN BELT WITH THOUSANDS

OF PROPOSED NEW HOUSES. YOUR PRESENTING OF ISSUES IS DONE DISENGENUOUSLY.

?

Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes  No

**Comments**

AGAIN TO REF 028C & 192. AN AREA OF LARGELY GREEN BELT LAND THE SIZE OF SHENFIELD + HUTTON COMBINED PUT FORWARD FOR DEVELOPMENT?! THIS IS NOT 'ALLOWING VILLAGES TO GROW TO MEET A LOCAL NEED' IT IS TURNING RURAL ENVIRONMENT INTO

A LARGE URBAN AREA. VILLAGES ARENT GROWING THEY ARE BEING ABSORBED INTO A NEW TOWN

?

Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

**Comments**

A127 CORRIDOR. LARGELY DUE TO THE FACT THAT THERE IS ONLY ONE SETTLEMENT THERE HOWEVER AGAIN SCALE OF DEVELOPMENT IS KEY; ADDING ANOTHER ~~TOWN~~ VILLAGE THE SIZE OF INGRAVE IS NOT UNREASONABLE

CREATING A TOWN THE SIZE OF SHENFIELD IS.

\* AGAIN YOUR PRESENTING OF THE QUESTION IS DEISIVE & DISENGENUOUS.

? Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas? Yes  No

**Comments**

RELEASING SITES ON THE EDGES OF URBAN AREAS & TURNING ALL THE LAND BETWEEN TO TOWNS INTO HOUSING A 2 DIFFERENT THINGS. I WOULD SAY YES HOWEVER THE DEVELOPMENTS BEING SUGGESTED ARE SO LARGE THAT THEY CANNOT BE DESCRIBED AS SITES ON URBAN EDGES BUT ENTIRE NEW TOWNS.

? Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

**Comments**

GREEN FIELD SITES SHOULD NOT BE USED; THAT IS THE POINT OF THEM BEING GREENFIELD; THEY ARE NATURAL LAND PRESERVED; NOT FOR DEVELOPMENT. SO BROWNFIELD SITES ONLY.

? Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Yes  No

**Comments**

WHICH FURTHER SUPPORTS MY POINT THAT SITES SHOULD BE KEPT TO A MINIMUM IN SIZE; TO REDUCE CONGESTION ON THE CURRENT NETWORK.

? Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development? Yes  No

**Comments**

BUT IT IS VITAL THAT WE DO NOT LOSE THE HISTORIC LOOK OF OUR TOWN CENTRE OR BUILD IN A WAY THAT DOES NOT FIT WITH THE AESTHETIC OF ~~THE~~ THE TOWN.

?

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes  No

Comments

?

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other – please specify: .....	1	2	3	4	5

?

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify: .....	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes  No

**Comments**

THIS QUESTION LARGELY DEPENDS ON WHAT YOU MEAN BY TERMS LIKE 'GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE'. FURTHERMORE I WOULD LOOK AT SUPPORTING + MAINTAINING THE HISTORIC VILLAGES IN BRANTWOOD & THE INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIRED FOR THIS.

? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

**Comments**

- EDUCATION
- GREEN AREAS (WILDLIFE ~~ETC~~ ETC)
- HEALTHCARE.
- HISTORICAL MAINTAINANCE.

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire**

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

