Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

2 0 FEB 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name:	ALISON	Last Name: THURGOOD
		4	

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

ŗ	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes No approaches to growth?	ज
	Comments 1 OBJECT TO BUILDING ON CURRENT GREENBELT	
	SITES.	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes No	
	BECAUSE I OBJECT TO BUILDING ON ANY CREENBEST I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE ISSUES.	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes M No	
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes M No Comments NO GWENBUT IS APPROPHATE	
?		
?	Comments NO GREENBELT IS APPROPRIATE	

INGRAVE IS THE ONLY ACCESS TO THE VILLAGES

HOWEVER WEST HORNDON HAS MULTIPLE ACCESS.

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes Yes No I the edge of urban areas?
	Comments ONLY IN BROWN FIELD SITES. NOT WERENT GREENBELT
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments DISAGREE LOCAL NEED, US FOR TO DEVELOP GREENFIELD SITE OR BROWN FIELDS. IT WOULD BE FOR THE NEED FOR GROWTH FROM OUTSIDE BENTWOOD RESIDENTS HOWEVER BROWN FIELD SITE WOULD BE PREFERED.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network? Yes ☑ No ☐ No
	Comments HOWEVER A128 TO BRENTWOOD IS NOT A VIABLE OFTION AS THE ONLY ROUTE - HOWEVER WEST HORNDON ALSO HAS RAIL LINKS TO LONDON. ALSO BAENTWOOD HAS MANY EMPTY SHOPS WHICH DO NOT HAVE (AS LONG AS NOT ON GREEN BELT) EMPLOYEES!
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments AND NO LONGER BENT OUT MARKET STALLS IN THE HIGH STREET AS THERE ARE MANY EMPTY SHOPS AND THE STALLS CAUSE EXISTING SHOPS TO SUFFER.

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes ₺ No □

AS PREVIOUSLY PROPOSED IN THE OLD LDP IS
A MUCH BETTER/SUITABLE OFTION.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	(4)	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	(4)	5
Historic Interest	1	2	(3)	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	(4)	5
Other - please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predomlnant
Houses	1	2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3.	(4)
Farmland	1	2	(3)	4
Woodland	1	2	(3)	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	(2)	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	4
Other - please specify: GHAN BLT	1	2	3	4

TO CAPACITY. THE A128 AND NO PAIL LINKY PUBLICATION AT ALL FOR RESIDENTS. THERE IS ONLY ONE	Comn	nents	FOR	INGLA	JE/HER	ONGATE	WE A	RE 1	ALREAD'	7	
AT ALL FOR RESIDENTS. THERE IS ONLY ONE	10	CAP	*UTY	WITH	THE	A128	AND	No	PAIL LI	NKYPU	BLIC
11/11/11/11	AT	ALL	Fo	RR	'ESIPEN'	TS. TH	Ele 15	ONL	1 ONE		

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other

Comments DOCTORS, DENTISTS, SCHOOLS

AND 6TH FORM COLLEGE IS

BADLY NEEDED IN THE BOROWAH.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

Yes □ No 🖾

