



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MA	First Name: GORDON	Last Name:	Pormon
Organisation (if applica	able):		
Job title (if applicable):	RUTIRED		
Address:			
Post Code:	Telephone	Number:	
Email Address:			

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?	Yes 🗹	No □
_	approaches to growth:		

COMMENTS WE STROKELY OFFOSE INAPPROPRIATE DEVELOPMENT IN THE GREEN BELT TOURSEAM STANCES WHERE BENEFITS CLEHRLY OUT WEIGH THE HARVI AND WE HASO SUPPORT THE VIEW THAT HOUSING NEED ALONE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE EXCEPTIONAL BENEFIT.

? Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes \(\mathbb{\sigma}\) No \(\mathbb{\sigma}\)

Comments ROAD & RAIL IN THE AIRT CORRIDOR IS ALREADY AT CAPACITY AND THE VILLAGE OF W.H. IS OFTEN USED AS A RAT RUM. THE AIRT DOES ON OCCASIONY GET FLOODED & THIS CAN CAUSE UNNECESSARY FLOODING IN THE VILLAGE

? Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🗹 No 🗆

Comments

THE FUTURE HOUSING NEED SHOULD BE SPREAD OVER THE WHOLE OF BRENTWOOD & APPROPRIATE TRANSPORT & NECCESSARY INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPLIED

Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments WE BOESTION THE STATEMENT THAT THE A129 HAS GREATER CAPACITY THAN THE A12 SHOULD THE DUNTON SITE BE CHOSEN, IT WOULD ENABLE POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN A AROUND W.H VILLAGE TO BE LIMITED TO THE EXISTING INDUSTRIAL ROWN FIRLD SITES SHOULD ANY FIRM WISH TO MOVE, GIVEN THAT STAFF ARE EITHER LOCAL DR COME BY FOR THOSE THAT ACTUALLY OWN THEIR OWN SITES, TRAIN,

	?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes ☑ No ☐ the edge of urban areas?
		Comments BRENTWOOD COUNCIL SHOULD CONSIDER ALL SITES
(?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	2	Comments THS IS JUST WHAT THE PRATERNITY OF BUILDERS WANT - ONCE GIVEN, YOU HAVE SET A PRECEDENT. BROWN FIELD FIRST DURASE
	?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
		Comments NOT OFECESSARILY. THE HIGHWAY NETWORK WILL NOT BE GOOD IF STAFF CAMMOT GET THERE Q PUBLIC TRANSPORT IS UNAVAILABLE.
[)	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
		Comments BUT REGULAR O RELIABLE PUBLIC TRANSPERT TO ALL AREAS, INICLUDING DUNTON O WEST HORNDOY WILL BE NECCESSARY TO USE IT.

?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes □	No 🗹
			1

Comments ALREADY TAKEN	

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	(4)	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	(3)	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other - please specify H HEEDS STATION ROAD WH HEEDS HECCESSARY MAINTENANCE	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	(3)	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	(3)	4
Farmland	1	2	(3)	4
Woodland	1	(2)	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1) NC	NE 2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	4
Other - please specify: VILLAGE HALL CHURCH SCOT HEAD QUA	1 ERS	2	3	4

SCHOOL

Comments	QUALITY OF LIFE	

COMMENTS
ESSENTIAL.

GUEN THE SCALE OF THE PROPOSED DEUBLOPMENT
WITHIN THE AIRT CORRIDOR POSSIBLE FLOODING WILL
HAVE TO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION & HEALTH,
TRANSPORT, GREEN SPACE & COMMUNITY FACILITIES

O EDUCATION A PRIORITY.

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

