Internal use only		
Comment No.	1144	
Ack. date		



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MR	First Name:	PETER	Last Name: GRIGG

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes approaches to growth?	No 🔯
	Comments The areas D2BE and 192, pleas D28A & D28B are not part 1) the import an described which is omineus. ALSO D2BC and 192 are described as being WEST 1) Brentwood when it is EAST - a fundamental error.	
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	No 🔯
	Comments wit of the Borought - Insufficient widere to Infrestructure - transposs, schools, medical facilities. A 12 Countdor - YES A127 Countdor - no mention of traffic. DEBC. 192, 028A, 028B - it is GREEN RETT. not to be built on Per: P.27. Consultation Document. There is no Infrost wellers, a good diseas. Excellent for walking many footpasts. well used, Open land, birds, small manuals in abundance. Historical woodland, ball tense-No parametr and son named. Henging Hell Lane - Loo need traffic.	
3	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🗷	No 🗆
	Comments 1127 CORRIDOR-YES, but need a population and traffic forecast - niether 1) which provided. (A12 GORERODR-YES, if Ingales fore confined to between A12/Rowling North YES - only as Infilling.	y .
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	Comments The A127 Corridor	

3	the edge of urban areas?
*	Comments Munt. relain a gap between Ingateshore and Brentwood.
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments Brown of red Sites.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments The High Street would benefit if traffic wan 18-toaked by Sainsbury;

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes □ No M

Comments

The Open Space around us -all green Belt must stay - .0 28C, 192. 028A, 028B. School grounds must stay.

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale) of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	6
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wiidlife Interest	1	2	3	4	6
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	6
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	6)
Other - please specify: . Con. ga t t.o.; v	1	2	3	4	6

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	(1)	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	Ø
Farmiand	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	(
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	①	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2		4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes important issues to consider?	No 🗆
	Comments Traffic novement/measurement or forcest.	
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?	

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Transport · Roads
Town Centus
Lighting

Comments

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

