Internal use only

Comment No. 4850

Ack. date



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MR	First Name: Liones	Last Name: Sent
Organisation (if ap		
Job title (if applicat		
Address:		
Post Code:	Telephone Numbe	er:
Email Address:		

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes No approaches to growth?
	Comments I ACREE THERE SHELL BE ARES CONSMICH BUT NOT IN THE BURKLARE WILLBER ARES
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes Z No D
	Comments BURELLARE
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes No
	Comments THE TWO SITES IN GLIBERIANE BITE WOT SUITABLE. IE PROUSIONS OF SERVICES. THE SITE AND MAKE THE VILLAGE ENCLOSED BY NOTURE AND NOT BURNET USEN OF NEW HOUSES.
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Comments

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes D No \partial No \partial \text{V} the edge of urban areas?
	Comments PORT OF HORSE POESS BAE AREANODORE HENCE I TOO NOT PONEE TO AREANODORE
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments PREFERBLY CREEN BEET NOT OND THE ANEA OF VILLAGES.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments / SEPARATE SITES TO VILLATES AND FOR SCHOOL PRETICAL TO BE TRAVE SELF CONTAINED. WATER SECURIC ETC
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments (DENEE THAT UN USED ON NOT REED SITE IN TOUNS (COURS CONTAIN MORE TOUN ACCOMMED PATION,

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes □ No 🖾

Comments
A VILLAGE IS A SPECIAL PLACE TO CIVE,
SECRETED BY BEARE WHO WARN THIS
ENVIRONMENTO TO PROUTE OPEN Space wall
literature over VILLAGE TO TOWN. THE
TRANSMENT HAVE NOT BEEN MADUED
THIS SEETS OUR CANTAINSOTTON

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(5)
Other - please specify: WOLKING / + DOG WOKES + +	1	2	3	4	(5)

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1_	2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	(1)	2	3	4_
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	(4)
Farmland	1	2	(3)	4
Woodland	1	2	3 `	43
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes D No D important issues to consider?
Comments WHAT INFROSTUCTURES/THERE ARE NEWE IN THE ZX AREAR IN BLAKKARE, THESE BLE FAMILY UPPLY WITH HARSES/COUR CETE.
? Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?
Comments Plant (mider (on)) THE OUSTICAL.
I sence seems har he continued but
I would cike to see New Small Tours
AUREL IE. HOMOW/ATCOMBUT/RUMAN EST. NOT
IN RURAL VILLAGES WHICH OCCUPANTS STATICE
ExPECTANO WHAT THEY SEE / HAVE. NOT AN
CUERCHOUTH OF REALIE WHO COUD GO
ESEWHERE LIKE NEW TOURS, VERY
MUCH HUMBT LIACKMANE CONFIDENTION

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

