Internal use only	4866
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



### **Brentwood Borough Local Plan**

# Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

### **Consultation questionnaire**

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

#### **Personal Details**



#### Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes No E approaches to growth?	
	Comments Its logical.	
¥		
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?  Yes  No	<sub>2</sub>
	Green Bettland must be left alore.	
	Browngield sites are fine if they have already boen built on his Groen & Amy spare land on Bromgield sites in Groon Belt should be left alone.	sel
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes V No D	
	Comments Don't build on Groen belt land, even the land of the edges of urban areas. Its all precious.	
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	
	The up to the local residents. I don't feel qualified to comment.	

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes □ the edge of urban areas?	No 🗹
	Comments Temble idea. It's Green Belt, So leave it alone. In 30 years time they'll just be resking if they should build on Green Belt land on the NEW edges of unban areas resulting from whatever building gets forced through this time. If every generation builds a little more thank be no countryide left.	
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?	
	Greenfield Sites on the edge of villages must be left as they are. Brownfield Sites are the preservoe, as long as they don't allude to pituresque, formenly operational farmland sneakily hidden behind a euptomism.	
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	No 🔽
	No. Went that's not an option no matter how it's pert to us.	
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically Yes sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	No 🗆
	Yes. There's always empty shop units and we've yet to See that anima Shopping complex.	

?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes 🗆	No 152
	Comments		]
	Not that I'm aware.		

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(6)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	6)
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(5)
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3_	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	<b>(6)</b>	6
Farmland	1	2	3	<b>4</b> )
Woodland	1	2	3	(a)
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	<b>(</b> 1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	(2)	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	1 4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes D important issues to consider?	No 🗹
	Comments We need a new GP Surgery in Brentwood regardless of any potential compansion. At the Surgery I work at we are constantly taking on new fatures and the wait is 2 needs begoe they can see ANY dector, let alone their own.	
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?	
	Comments  My As above. Pothules should be filled!	

## Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)

