Ack. date



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

8

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title:	MK	First Name:	YETER	Last Name:	CULLING	
Organ	isation (if applic	able):				

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?	Q1: Do you agree with the broad approaches to growth?	d areas, for the purpose of considering	Yes 🗹	No	
	Comments				
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issue	s raised within each of these areas?	Yes Ø	No	
	Comments				
			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
?	Q3: Do you have any comments	on the appropriateness of particular sites?	Yes 🗹	No	
	Comments	with the movesed use	e al		
	dele ref. 143 in 1	with the movered use	700		
	sufficient draingg & s	local schools of fall cer	pacety		
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for sites put forward do you think is to	or growth along the A127 corridor, which of the best location for growth?	the		
	Comments	GARDEN SUBURIS			
	DONTOR	GARDEN SUBURIS			

Question 3 addition

- 1. I understand a sustainability study was carried out 3 years ago which highlighted the lack of infrastructure within Doddinghurst and concluded there was not the capacity for expansion in the village. There have been no improvements to the infrastructure since then.
- 2. Affordable housing is supposed to be sited close to transport links and areas offering employment. Doddinghurst has neither. Whilst there is a bus sessivice to Brentwood only 4 buses a day travel as far as the railway station and the last bus of the day leaves Brentwood at 18.35. Bus fares would also have a significant impact to anyone living on a low income.

As there are so few opportunities to work in Doddinhurst and the surrounding areas transport would be an absolute essential.

- 3. It therefore follows that the occupants of the proposed dwellings are likely to need at least one car, and as is the case of most families today, 2 cars. This would then have obvious ongoing effects on parking, road usage, traffic, etc.
- 4. The village schools are currently almost full and cannot be extended. They therefore could not accommodate a large influx of pupils.
- 5. The Doctor's surgery would be likewise seriously affected.
- 6. One of the proposed access routes to the dwellings is via Lime Grove.

Lime Grove is a narrow width road which already has problems with parking and through access. These problems would be greatly exacerbated were the road to become a through road to the new dwellings.

5	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?	No 🗆
	Comments	
3	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?	
	Brounfield sites close le Porentweedl could be cleveleped.	
3	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	No 🗆
	Comments Denlan Genden seebent is en obveous sele	
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?	No 🗆
	Comments	

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes ₺ No 🗆
Comments	
	where you live?

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	(4)	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	(4)	5
Historic Interest	1	2	(3)	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	(4)	5
Other - please specify: Please Specify: Countrysicle	1	2	3	4	(5)

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	(3)	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	<u>(1)</u>	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	(3)	4
Farmland	1	2	3	(4)
Woodland	1	2	(3)	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2)	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	(3)	4
Other - please specify: County seile foolwalls	1	2	3	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes \(\Pi \) No important issues to consider?						
	Comments 1) don't think the infrastructor essues is flooding risks, ropel, public transport link school aggragity, lack of employment ele in Doddinghust have been considered 143						
	school segragity, lack of employment ele in Boddinghust hove been considered 143						

2 Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments	4.0	0		01
whe .	problems need for	es abou	e and	Me
possible	need le	er shell	tred	oceem -
madreten	in The	la laco		
	or one of	faceur -		

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)