1 8 FEB 2015

Internal use only	6
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MR	First Name: NICK	Last Name: HART

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

۲,	approaches to growth?
	There must be bronnsield land in them somewhere.
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes Volume No U
*	Comments Plestnood is hig-enough, any new homes with sull still be expensive & work ease the housing crisis.
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes 🗹 No 🛘
¥	Comments Only the A127 Collisol & a Fith Switchele legion in my opinion
?	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?
	Comments A 127 Collistor! Because it is dose to reag major load links, West Horndon station and Upmissels District Line Tube station!

A rew maistire Station could be built, which Page 2 of 5 would make this a Santastic locations to lie is.

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes D No the edge of urban areas?
?	No. This area is ferset, leavisful Le provides a crucial possis storm the unshed Shawl of Haveling / London. Please leave it alone. Plus, it is not good to ful even more pressure on Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on Shenheld Station! the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Only brownfields, within existing towns. Not on Salm, april stom lot 2 lovely cottages.
3	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the Mo most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments A/27 Collidor + markine larkway + M25 + Upminstels Tuke station = a great place to live!
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments Ves. Blentwood has a sest Sain brusys & the High St lings on, with a Shade too many a betting Shops Give us a notrad (not bouty) Cirema & let little Shops stay affort. Page 3 of 5

?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes 🗆	No □
	Comments Only the lovely GREEN BELT		

Countryside that affects to be Jaw game game these days. Did I mention the A127 collidor?

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)!
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(P)
Other - please specify: Great Wense great walks	1	2	3	4	5

Unsported look at Harlow, which also used to be lovely!

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	.1	2	3	(4)
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	(3)	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	(4)
Farmland	1	2	3	(4)
Woodland	4	2	3	(A)
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons * etc.)	1	(2)	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	(4)
Other - please specify: Loss of Lovely Cornelly Sold	1	2	3	4

tommunity seel + sense

Page 4 of 5

* A127 Collidol has the Chese, no halm is

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes D No D important issues to consider?
	Comments A127 Collidol has integled lailway - Sit is another station - & the Twee close by And the AD7! AND be M25! build there (i)
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?
	Comments A rew Station between West Holdon & Vernistels plus affel safel access to AQ7 stor @ West Holdon, and a similal safe sup load at its subwe neighborns
	A127 Collidor =
C	The ONLY logical choice!
1	I see new ullage? (i)

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)