18 FEB 2015

		*	1 1
Internal use only			
Comment No.	0.0		
Ack. date			



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Title: MRS	First Name: KAY	Last Name: TORNER
·		

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

_	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering Yes D No D
	approaches to growth?
	Comments Official it makes some sense to consider the A127 consider as a possible unit as few as development goes, the A12 corridor is few too diverse and the mix of different settlem as diverse and the mix of different settlem as diverse and the mix of different settlem.
ei	top diverse and the mix of different settlem
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Yes No
	Comments See above
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes □ No □
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes □ No □ Comments
?	

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes Li No Li the edge of urban areas?
	K leads to urban sprawl with no restraint on prov quality development on check on whether there is sustainable infrastructure
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Comments I don't think any site within greenbelt Should be developed without a proper assessment of infrastructure and constraints upon who should benefit that is local formities/key workers.
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	Comments Particularly links to tail network public transport.
[Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments We already have access to large rebail parts - Lakeside and Blue water, I would rather use the town Centre Shops or a weal convenience. Page 3 of 5

? Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area Yes I No Where you live?

There is good provision of open:

There is good provision of open:

Epace in my area and within

the locality.

? Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

f 1 to 5), as compared to other ar	VOIY	Low	Average	High	High
Aspeat:	Low	2	3	4	(5)
Boenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	(5)
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historia Interest	1	2	+ ; ;		(5)
Tranquility Other - please specify:	1 . 4	2	3	4	10
ACCESSABLLITY			-نباك		. ,

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

11: To what extent do you a many ye (on a scale of 1 to 4):		Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
spect:	Absent	1	3	4
	1	(2)	3	1 4
ouses ommercial / Industrial buildings	-(1)	2	3	(4)
lature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	232	4
armland	1	1 (2)	3	4
Woodland Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	13	3	4
Degraded / Dereilot / Wassinfastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons	1	2	1 (32)	4
etc.) Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1		1	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	
*			house	0 /5/0

* As I live on the Gorden of a housing estate and agricultural farmland this depends on what direction I look in!

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes \(\Delta\) No \(\Delta\) important issues to consider?
	Comments I'm sure these very significant proposals need much more investigation particularly for those who'll be most affected i.e. existing insidents or workers who wake highly the existing leisure/open spaces orshall amenit
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?
	Robably health, education & transport
Λ	I think this form was not assily understandable and any were consultation should be at a
De lu	vel that the overage person con derstand and able to respond to
-	Thank you.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)