Internal use only
Comment No.
Ack. date



## **Brentwood Borough Local Plan**

# **Strategic Growth Options Consultation**

January 2015

### **Consultation questionnaire**

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

#### **Personal Details**



#### **Questions**

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

<u>;</u>	Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?	Yes		No 🗆
	Comments			
?	Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?	Yes		No 🗆
	Comments			
?	Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites?	Yes	х	No 🗆
	Comments Plot 143 Land East of Peartree Lane and North of Peartree Close: Major concern relating to access to the site. The planning submission suggests that Lime Grove is "of standard width" for a residential street. This is wildly untrue It is in fact a very narrow road (barely wide enough for two cars to pass, impossible if any cars are parked on the road). Peartree Lane is a standard side road (not a main/feeder road) and again often becomes congested with parked cars, allowing only one way traffic.			
<u>;</u>	Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of t sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?	:he		

Comments

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?	Yes x	No 🗆
	Comments This makes sense but each planning decision should be taken on it's own merits and local considerations should be taken into account, particularly local access and infrastructure considerations.		
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?		
	Comments Brownfield sites should always be developed before touching established, previously undeveloped greenfield sites.		
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?	Yes x	No 🗆
	Comments		
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically	Yes x	No □

sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?

	Comments		
?	Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?	Yes □	No x
	Comments Not if the council is determined to build new homes all over the existing open space!		

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	5√
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4√	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5√
Historic Interest	1	2√	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5√
Other – please specify: Overall Quality of Life	1	2	3	4	5√

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4√
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2√	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4√

Farmland	1	2	3√	4
Woodland	1	2	3√	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2√	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3√	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2√	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

?	Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider?	Yes □	No x
	Comments The number of new houses proposed for Doddinghurst (50 in this proposal alone) cannot be supported by local school provision. The access roads to this site are woefully unsuitable.		
?	Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?		
	Comments		

# Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)