Internal use only

Comment No.

Ack. date



Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details



Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

Yes No No Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth? Comments SMALL BROWNFIELD SITES ESPECIALLY IN BMALL COMMUNITIES WOULD HAVE LEAST IMPAG TO WHAT IS ALREADY OVERSTRETCHED FACILITIES 12. DOGORS, SCHOOLS, ROADS PRIVATELY OUN LAND MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE | EXPENSIVE TO OBTAIN Yes I No I Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas? Comments LOCAL GREEN BELT SHOWS BE THE LEAST DEVELOPED THE DANGER OF BUYEING JOINING TOFFTHER COMMUNITIES WOWN I FEEL, LOSE LOCAL IDENTITIES AND CREATE A MONE BUIL UP + BUSIER COMMUNITY Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes \(\Dag{V} \) No \(\Dag{D} \) Comments WEST HOWN DON WOULD BE A DEVELOPMENT WOULD LEAST UPSET EXISTING COMMUNITIES Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth? Comments WEST HOLNOON TRANSPORT CORREDON GROWTH WITH I BELLEVE BE THE BETTER OPPOR - LEAST DISTURBANCE

EASIER TO ADD INFRASTURIUM REDURED FOR NEW DEVELOPMENTS

?	Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\) the edge of urban areas?
	PROVIDING THE IMPACT ODES NOT EFFICEG THE LOCAL ENVIRONDENT AND SPREAD THE COMMIDDEN TO MERCE SMALL COMMUNITIES - WE SHOWN BE MINDELL OF THE LOSS OF VILLAGES WITHIN THE BORDJEM
?	Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?
	Brownfield Sites ON A SMALL SCALE DOVED BE PREFERABLE
?	Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?
	DEVELOPING CENTRAUSED GROWTH I FEEL WOULD BENEFIT MOST
?	Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?
	Comments WHILST APPRECIATING BRENTWOOD SHOWS GROW WITH REGIRES TO RETAIL - WE MUST BE AMARE OF PARKING, ISSUES AND TRAFFIC ENCOURAGING MANYBE I OR 2 HIGH ST SHOPS WOUND BE BENFICIALIE, JOHN LEWIS CTC. THIS WOULD ENABLE SMALL IMPERENDENT SHOPS TO THRIVE, HOWEVER MICHITTIME TRADE I

Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area Y where you live?	s 🗆	No 🗆
---	-----	------

Comments

WE LIVE IN A NATURAL OPEN SPACE AREA. AND WISH IT TO REMAIN

Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractivness	1	2	3	4	(5)
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	(3)	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	(5)
Historic Interest	1	(2)	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	(5)
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5

Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	(2)	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	<u> </u>	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4)
Woodland	- 1	2	3	(4)
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	(1)	2	3	4
Infastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	(2)	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

? Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other Yes \(\Delta\) No \(\Delta\) important issues to consider?

Comments

IF NEW DRUELDPMENTS ARE MADE - IT MUST NOT INPACT ON EXISTING COMMUNITIES - THEY MUST BE SUPPORTED BY ADDITIONAL SCHOOLS, TRANSPORT, LEISUNG AND MEDICAL FAULITIES

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

THE NEED FOR INFRASTRUCTURE IS REDUCED MAINING ON MAINTRINIAGE ROADS AND IMPROVING THE SAFETY FOR CYCLESTS ESPECIALLY IN RUMAL AREAS. - CYCLE PATHS | BRIDAL PATHS WOULD BE A GOOD ADDITION WITH IMPACTING ON THE ENVIRONMENT

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)