

**Submissions/objections to strategic Growth Options  
Consultation  
Brentwood Borough Council 2015 17<sup>th</sup> Feb 2015**

**Cllr Mrs Jill Hubbard (Warley Ward, Brentwood Borough  
Council)**

**Having struggled unsuccessfully for over an hour and a half  
to work out how to make submissions on the on-line form I  
would be grateful if you would accept my submissions in this  
form.**

**013 Warley Training Centre**

No objection to housing development but request that adequate parking is provided on site as there's already a huge commuter parking problem in Greenfields Close and Essex Way

**014 The Gables**

To be kept as sheltered housing

**015 Former Mascalls Hospital**

No objection to housing provided adequate parking is provided.

**016A Woodlands School**

If the school chose to vacate the building I would have no objection to it being used for Housing

**016B Woodlands School**

Strong objection to the land and fields surrounding the current school building being used for housing. There are not enough local facilities (medical/educational) in the area to provide for the possible housing. Also green-belt and apt of Special Landscape area under current Local Plan. This would not be compliant with Council's chosen Spatial Strategy. Previously rejected under SHLAA exercise; discounted as does not meet Spatial Strategy to protect green-belt due to remote location.

**024A&B Sawyers Hall Lane**

Object to this land being used by Tesco to develop housing as this area forms part of the green belt around Brentwood, is home to Hopefield Animal Sanctuary and is at the end of an extremely congested lane which is a cul-de-sac with access to five schools.

### **027 next to Carmel**

Green belt land that was previously a natural wildlife habitat which was deliberately destroyed by the applicant in order to over-turn out argument that it was part of green-belt. Mascalls Lane has no pavements and is otherwise an open part of the rural green belt. This application was turned down by the Planning Inspector when it went to appeal.

### **081 Council Depot**

No real objection but under no account must the land developed include either the local scout hut, Eagle Hall or the RYBL Hall and marching ground or the car park for them. Both of these facilities have been upgraded, repaired and enormously improved by their own efforts to establish excellent facilities used by many young and older people living in the community of Brentwood.

### **082 Warley Street - Dickenson's Farm**

No objection to one house being where current one is but do object on behalf of residents and members of GWCS (Great Warley Conservation Society) to housing on the grassland/farmland. Rural location, remote from services & educational facilities. Unsustainable in green-belt location. Please see 016B Previously rejected under SHLAA exercise; discounted as does not meet Spatial Strategy to protect green-belt due to remote location.

### **083 west of Pastoral Way**

Healthcare & residential in situ. No objections

### **101A Highway Work Site Codham Hall South**

On a personal level I object strongly to any development on this site on green-belt grounds and because the agreement was always that this land would be returned to agricultural use after the Highways Agency ceased to use it but this hasn't happened and in my capacity as Ward councillor I recognize the need to provide areas for employment. If developed this should be beautifully designed, low-rise offices, light industrial buildings that blend with the landscape and preferably mirror/reflect the trees and fields surrounding them, following the contours of the hedges and trees.

### **101B Codham Hall North**

Due to previous lack of planning enforcement, many businesses now operate at this site which should never have been allowed. The site is out of sight and the owner has benefited from this in the

past but I strongly object to all the businesses operating out of the former farm buildings, (storage, vehicle repair etc.) Nothing can be done about them because historically they have “got away with it” but I object to any further encroachment on the fields surrounding the businesses which is happening currently with cars parking on fields turning them eventually into so-called brownfield sites. This is green-belt land and the encroachment of industry should never have been allowed to go as far as it has. (The extent of this can be seen by using Google satellite images)

### **108 Old Pump Works**

No objection. Excellent conversion

### **111 Upminster Trading Park**

Agree- continue existing employment

### **112ABC Childerditch industrial Site**

Agree Continue existing employment

### **112D**

Objection to any further development, particularly heavy industrial, noise generating or light polluting activities as this is green belt and affects the neighbours in Little Warley Hall Lane and to the east of the site enormously.

### **116 Warley Business Park**

Agree - Existing offices are ideal for employment.

### **117 Ford Europe HQ**

Agree with retaining existing employment

### **127 Brook Street**

Agree with developing sensitively for employment

### **141 Brentwood Leisure Park**

This area should be retained as leisure, containing as it does the ski-slope, karting track, health facility and restaurant. Inappropriate for housing as it's in green-belt land and is an area of SSI.

### **167 Hill Cottage/Mill House**

Not sure why these two have been lumped together.....

This is green-belt land in a green belt location with high-grade landscape value. Separates us from London Borough of Havering.

### **193 North of Church Lane**

Objection to development here in agricultural green-belt. Previously rejected under SHLAA exercise; discounted as does not meet Spatial Strategy to protect green-belt due to remote location.

### **200 Proposed site for Dunton Garden Suburb**

Whilst in green-belt this area is not a high-grade landscape. Provided homes are not built on flood areas (have flooded or likely to flood in the future due to climate change & increased rain/storms etc) I would not object to this as we need to create around 6,000 homes in the next 15 years.

### **212 Coombe Woods**

Objection to housing being put in this area of green-belt. Remote from services & facilities. Unsustainable green-belt location of high grade landscape part of special landscape Area under current Local Plan. Previously rejected under SHLAA exercise; discounted as does not meet Spatial Strategy to protect green-belt due to remote location.

### **228 Chep Pallets**

Not in accordance with the Council's current Spatial Strategy. Overwhelmingly rejected by The Great Warley Conservation Society and local residents. It is remote from services and facilities and would require constant use of cars to access any services in Brentwood or beyond. Previously rejected under SHLAA exercise; discounted as does not meet Spatial Strategy to protect green-belt due to remote location.

### **230 Bowmer Magpie Lane**

Think that half this site could be considered for housing but that current lorry park should revert to green-belt. Site is remote from services and facilities but there are other houses in similar rural location. Site could be developed sensitively with large well-spaced homes but estate type development would be unsustainable.

