

BRENTWOOD B.C.

11 FEB 2015

Received



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Internal use only
Comment No.
Ack. date

Brentwood Borough Local Plan

Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY
or by email to planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

Personal Details

Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.

?

Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

Yes No

Comments

I AGREE THAT THE 3 AREAS SHOULD BE LOOKED AT FROM A CASE BY CASE SCENARIO. YOU CANNOT COMPARE THE MORE RURAL AREAS TO THE NORTH OF THE BOROUGH WITH THE A12 + A127 CORRIDORS

?

Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

Yes No

Comments

?

Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes No

Comments

I FEEL IT IS UNACCEPTABLE AND WRONG THAT YOU WOULD CONSIDER BUILDING TO THE NORTH OF THE BOROUGH. WE SHOULD BE PRESERVING NATURAL LANDSCAPE AND LOCAL VILLAGES NOT MAKING THEM INTO SMALL TOWNS

?

Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

Comments

WEST MORNDON

?

Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?

Yes No

Comments

THERE IS ALREADY TOO MUCH TRAFFIC AND THE URBAN AREAS ARE BIG ENOUGH

?

Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

Comments

ABSOLUTELY NOT - THESE VILLAGES ARE ALL THAT IS QUINTESSENTIALLY ENGLISH. IF YOU DEVELOPE ON THESE SITES YOU MAY AS WELL CONCRETE OVER THE WHOLE COUNTRY!

?

Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?

Yes No

Comments

THE MORE NARROW COUNTRY LANES AROUND THE NORTH OF THE BOROUGH ARE ALREADY USED BY LARGE COMMERCIAL TRUCKS THAT ARE TOO BIG FOR THE ROADS. MORE TRAFFIC WOULD CAUSE ACCIDENTS + TRAFFIC

?

Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?

Yes No

Comments

I AGREE WITH THIS BUT THE TRAFFIC INTO BLENWOOD IS ALREADY SO BAD THAT I CAN SEE WHY OUT OF TOWN SHOPPING IS GROWING



Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

Yes No

Comments



Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquillity	1	2	3	4	5
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4	5



Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify:	1	2	3	4

?

Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes No

Comments

I DON'T BELIEVE YOU HAVE CONSIDERED THE INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES AROUND THE NORTH OF THE BOROUGH. THE CURRENT INFRASTRUCTURE IS ADEQUATE FOR THE NUMBER OF PROPERTIES AND PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THE VILLAGES. IT WILL NOT BE SUFFICIENT IF THERE WERE MORE PEOPLE AND HOMES

?

Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

Comments

WOULD IT NOT BE POSSIBLE TO USE THE MONEY ON IMMIGRATION AND REMOVE THOSE WHO SHOULD NOT BE IN THIS COUNTRY AND USE THEIR HOMES FOR THOSE WHO DESERVE IT RATHER THAN HAVE TO KEEP BUILDING NEW HOMES?

IN SHORT, BLACKMORE IS A HISTORIC, MEDIEVAL, PICTURESQUE VILLAGE THAT MUST RETAIN ITS CURRENT STATUS. I LIVE ON THE VILLAGE GREEN AND EVERY WEEKEND SEE VISITORS WHO COME TO MARVEL AT HOW PRETTY AND UNIQUE BLACKMORE IS. I SPEAK WITH THESE VISITORS AND THEY COME TO THE VILLAGE BECAUSE IT IS DIFFERENT FROM ALL THE LOCAL AREAS. IF YOU BUILD 130 NEW HOMES AND ADD AROUND 600 NEW PEOPLE, BLACKMORE WILL BE VERY DIFFERENT AND NOT FOR THE BETTER.

IN ADDITION, THE NARROW COUNTRY LANES AROUND BLACKMORE ARE NOT WIDE ENOUGH FOR LARGE TRUCKS. I HAVE ALREADY LOST ONE WING MIRROR SO I IMAGINE THE VEHICLES REQUIRED FOR ANY PROPOSED BUILD WOULD ALSO RAISE PROBLEMS WITH RESIDENTS AND TRAFFIC

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015 (see page 1 for details)