

Internal use only	
Comment No.	
Ack. date	



## Brentwood Borough Local Plan

# Strategic Growth Options Consultation

January 2015

## Consultation questionnaire

This consultation questionnaire relates to the Brentwood Local Plan Strategic Growth Options Consultation and is provided for you to make comments. Please take the opportunity to read the consultation document before filling in this form and returning to:

**Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY**  
or by email to **[planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk)**

Comments need to be received by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

If you need any help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team using the contact details given above or by telephoning 01277 312620.

## Personal Details

## Questions

The Council is seeking responses on key issues. Focused questions appear in bold boxes throughout the Strategic Growth Options document. These questions are summarised in this consultation questionnaire. More information can be found at **[www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan)**.

Please use an additional sheet if necessary. Please note that all responses will be published online.



Q1: Do you agree with the broad areas, for the purpose of considering approaches to growth?

No /

#### Comments

No, you are going to destroy the environment, peaceful surrounding areas( the reason we moved here) stretch already pressurised public resources' i.e. emergency services and hospitals. And the proposals for Ingrave area will affect our children as school places will become an issue.



Q2: Do you agree with the issues raised within each of these areas?

No ☐

#### Comments

I think that councillors and planning officers should have given a little more thought into hearing from the surrounding residents. We have a lot more issues than you have raised in your proposals, and frankly these are a joke!



Q3: Do you have any comments on the appropriateness of particular sites? Yes ☐

#### Comments

[REDACTED]



Q4: Given the greater capacity for growth along the A127 corridor, which of the sites put forward do you think is the best location for growth?

#### Comments

I do agree that there is room for improving work opportunities in the way of industrial sites on the A127, however have very grave concerns about the impact to the environment and the effect on traffic congestions.



Q5: Should the A12 corridor accommodate growth by releasing sites on the edge of urban areas?

No ☐

**Comments**



Q6: In order to provide for local need is it preferable for Greenfield sites on the edge of villages to be released, or to develop brownfield sites (both within the Green Belt)?

**Comments**

I Strongly disagree, we work hard and pay a premium on house prices to live here. We have the perfect balance of town life and rural living. You will bring down the area, if you develop on the green belt land. Is there not a law against building on greenbelt? Or is this just for Joe public who are unable to afford hefty brown envelope packages to our friends in the council!.....



Q7: To enable future employment need to be met do you agree that the most sustainable approach is to allocate new sites close to the strategic highway network?

No ☐

**Comments**

Just because you work in London does not mean you need to live there! Like wise here!



Q8: In order to ensure that the Town Centre remains economically sustainable, do you agree that a "Town Centre First" approach should be taken to retail development?

Yes ☐

**Comments**



Q9: Are there opportunities for more open space provision in the area where you live?

No ☐

### Comments

We want to keep these areas as they are!



Q10: Please rate the level to which you value the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 5), as compared to other areas within Brentwood Borough, for the following aspects:

Aspect:	Very Low	Low	Average	High	Very High
Scenic Beauty / Attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5
Outdoor Recreation / Leisure Use	1	2	3	4	5
Wildlife Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Historic Interest	1	2	3	4	5
Tranquility	1	2	3	4	5
Other – please specify: .....	1	2	3	4	5



Q11: To what extent do you think the following are present in the landscape near where you live (on a scale of 1 to 4):

Aspect:	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Predominant
Houses	1	2	3	4
Commercial / Industrial buildings	1	2	3	4
Nature Reserves / Wildlife	1	2	3	4
Farmland	1	2	3	4
Woodland	1	2	3	4
Degraded / Derelict / Waste land	1	2	3	4
Infrastructure (Road / Rail / Pylons etc.)	1	2	3	4
Leisure / Recreation Facilities	1	2	3	4
Other – please specify: Bridleways, footpaths and off road activities .....	1	2	3	4



Q12: Have we considered the main infrastructure issues? Are there other important issues to consider? Yes ☐

**Comments**

How can 5000-6000 new homes with the average occupants of 3 people per household be sustained with no additional schooling, hospitals, doctors etc, let alone the addition 6000-8000 cars on our roads!



Q13: What do you think the priorities for infrastructure spending should be?

**Comments**

Maintaining whats already there.

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire**

Please ensure that you return comments to the Council by 5pm on Tuesday 17 February 2015

(see page 1 for details)