

Navestock Parish Council

Working for community care and awareness

Navestock Village Hall, Horseman Side, Navestock CM14 5SD

Attn. Phil Drane,
Planning Policy Team,
Brentwood Borough Council,
Town Hall,
Brentwood,
Essex, CM15 8AY.

1st October 2013

Dear Phil,

Please find enclosed 24 Local Plan Comment Forms from Navestock villagers (plus one from the Parish Council and another from Stapleford Abbots Parish Council).

As you will remember when we spoke the day after your Presentation of the Plan in our Village Hall many of our villagers did not want to supply their names and addresses, or have them published, for fear of reprisals and intimidation. We have therefore, as you agreed, removed their names and addresses. However we can vouch for the fact that all these Comment Forms were written and sent to us by genuine Navestock residents

Many thanks for your understanding in this matter.

Navestock Parish Council



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment
No.

1593

Ack. date

~~1593~~

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Name and address withheld as OK'd by
Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 11.9.13

Post Code: _____

Number: _____

Email Address: _____

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

Green Belt land is slowly being destroyed and contaminated by Gypsy & Travellers. There are NO SANITARY PRECAUTIONS on their sites and therefore all waste is discharged to surrounding areas such as ditches and waste ground. The regular dumping of rubbish and non control of sites. The Land is becoming more and more undesirable - NOT WHAT GREEN BELT IS FOR. Navestock will become an undesirable village to live in soon - you only have to look at the properties for sale in the area, and I am sure most are moving in fear of their homes becoming worthless. As occupants we have rights too and the council seem to busy

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

trying to appease the Gypsies rather than their residents.

The lack of control towards current sites by the Council is clear.

Should the same lack of control be apparent by a local home-owner. I'm sure the council would be paying us regular visits to control such measures.

Maybe all residence should act like the travellers

BUILD ON OUR PROPERTIES WITHOUT
PLANNING.
DUMP ALL OUR WASTE RATHER
THAN RECYCLE
STEAL FROM NEIGHBOURS
DESTROY OUR COUNTRYSIDE

Maybe this is the way forward.

It is typical of local authorities to support these people over good, honest, long standing local residents.



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1594

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Address: Name and address withheld as OK'd by

Post Code: Brentwood Planning Department

Email Address: (Phil Drane) on 11.9.13

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28 - GYPSY + TRAVELLER PROVISION

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support
Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

These folk act in a totally illegal way. They flout their defiance of the rule of law. They have no regard for planning laws. They dump refuse + sewage everywhere + pollute the waters.

The Council's particular reluctance to enforce the laws put in place to protect the ordinary citizen rate payer will only lead to the kinds of reaction being engendered amongst the French, who have had 20,000 recent Roma arrivals with all the concomitant crime, pollution, wreckage + suffering.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1595

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Title:

First Name:

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 11.9.13

Organisation (if applicable)

Job title (if applicable):

Address:

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Post Code:

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

OUR VILLAGE IS SUFFERING BADLY FROM THE GYPSY AND TRAVELER SITES.

CONSTANT DUMPING OF RUBBISH. THREATS IF

YOU ASK THEM TO TAKE THE RUBBISH ELSEWHERE.

THE POLICE ARE UNWILLING TO TAKE ACTION

THE COUNCIL PLAN WILL DO NOTHING TO STOP THIS

AND WILL MAKE THE SITUATION WORSE.

I PAY COUNCIL TAX AND THE COUNCIL SEEM INTENT ON LISTENING TO TRAVELERS PROBLEMS THAN MINE

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1596**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

*NAVESTOCK RESIDENT
Name and address withheld as OK'd by
Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 11.9.13*

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28 - GYPSY + TRAVELLER PROVISION

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support
Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

IF THE GOVERNMENT'S AIM IS TO ENSURE FAIR AND EQUAL TREATMENT FOR TRAVELLERS, SURELY IT MUST APPLY TO THE SETTLED COMMUNITY AS WELL BUT BRENTWOOD COUNCIL DOES NOT RESPECT OUR INTERESTS AT ALL. THE INCIDENCES OF DUMPED RUBBISH HAVE INCREASED AND THERE HAVE BEEN SHOOTING INCIDENTS RECENTLY AS WELL. GYPSY/TRAVELLERS HAVE BROKEN MY ELECTRIC GATES TO GAIN ENTRY AND TRIED TO GAIN ENTRY AGAIN RECENTLY WHICH I REPORTED TO THE POLICE MORE AND MORE ARE SQUATTING ON THESE ILLEGAL SITES WITH IMPUNITY. WHY ARE OUR FEELINGS DISREGARDED? WE ARE ALREADY OUTNUMBERED BY THESE PEOPLE BUT NOTHING IS DONE

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan
All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1597

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Address: Name and address withheld as OK'd by

Post Code: Brentwood Planning Department

Email Address: (Phil Drane) on 11.9.13

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28 - GYPSY + TRAVELLER PROVISION

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I strongly object to the Gypsy + Traveller Provisions in the plan for the following reasons:-
Flouting of the Planning Regulations which apply to all others!
Rubbish being dumped in and around our area!
Sites are unsuitable for the quantity + type of occupation and are without the essential sewage and rubbish facilities.
There are now more Gypsies and Travellers in this area than the ordinary members of the ^{normal} housed, rate paying community

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

CS



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)
Comment No. **1598**
Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____ Telephone Number: _____

Email Address: _____

SCANNED
23 SEP 2013

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

BRENTWOOD B.C.
23 SEP 2013
Received

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

The sites in Navestock do not meet the stated criteria, because they are nowhere near shops, schools, healthcare or public transport.

The village is being destroyed by gypsy & traveller sites. They leave rubbish on lanes, sewage in ditches, they intimidate local residents (hence the lack of contact info on this form).

Also gypsies & travellers now outnumber the local community, yet Brentwood Council will not make an accurate count which would confirm this.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1601

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Address: _____ Name and address withheld as OK'd by _____

Post Code: _____ Brentwood lanning Department _____

Email Address: _____ (Phil Drane) on 11.9.13 _____

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required): *The proposed sites in Navestock DONOT meet the stated criteria! They are nowhere near any shops, schools, healthcare or public transport. Our village is being destroyed by the 'Traveller' sites already - we are currently having to endure their litter, the stink of their sewage being pumped into the ditches, the destruction they are causing of our SSSI's, trespassing, theft, intimidation, gun crime & violence. The council's plan does nothing about this. When reading your document I noticed many GLARING ERRORS in the count of current sites being just one. It is common knowledge that 'travellers' outnumber us the (see attached)*

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

The sites that we currently have in Goatswood Lane, Horsemanside and Curtis Mill Lane have destroyed the Green Belt that they moved onto through their tarmacing, paving and overall urbanisation of the sites.

I have lived in Navestock for most of my (short) life, I had previously thought of it as a safe place - somewhere you could have garden decorations in your front lawn, but NO. In the last few months ALL of my neighbours and I have had every outdoor ornament stolen - some of which were purely sentimental but all of which were metal. It is well known that the 'travellers' in this area are big on the scrap scene. Add this ^{minor} theft to the increasing amount of violence, knife & gun crime and murders in the area you can see why I would OBJECT to more of this 'ilk' moving into our small community.

In your document you quote the National Policy on 'traveller' sites as "The government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment... while respecting the interests of the settled community." My question is WHEN will you start respecting our interests??!



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1623**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.
Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I Object to The Gypsy + Traveller Provision Plans because. The village is being destroyed with rubbish on verges + ditches, Breacking Trespass Intimidation we have caught them on our property every night and have CCTV of them Breacking into our cars ect, The police say there is little they can do, we have armed police driving up and down the road, road clouser, The police and Council
CONTINUED ON ATTACHED SHEET

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 9AY, or alternatively email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by **Thursday 1st October 2013**

police and council can not keep the residence safe, and the new plans will have nothing to new to help the situation. the travellers are very cruel to their animals tiring up dogs and leaving the to die and the same with their horses, Resulting in the RSPA being constantly called along with rescue centres. I is so sad for residence to see when they are going on a family walk and see so many animals in distress. The travellers walk along the road with guns in their hand it is very frightening. Does the council now how many travellers there are in Navestock as for a little village it seems like quite a lot. Does the travellers site meet the necessary criteria? Why would the council put a travellers site on green belt land that is only supposed to be used for agricultural purposes, What affect will it have on the local schools and health care. I do not feel that the council is respecting the interest of the Brentwood residence and feel this means the residence are the on=e's not receiving fair or equal treatment



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)
Comment No 1604/160
Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: Mr First Name: [Redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [Redacted]

Job title (if applicable): [Redacted]

Address: [Redacted]

Post Code: [Redacted]

Email Address: [Redacted]

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.
Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

SHORT ATTACH 50
[Redacted]

1604

I welcome the proposal to give permanent planning permission to the Traveller site at Rye Etch but would temper that with a request that any permission should be limited to the current family (and descendants). This small scale site whose occupants show proper respect for the area, the woodland has been preserved and the visual impact minimised (True Gypsies who stayed in Navestock when I was young, prided themselves on doing no harm and leaving no trace) has worked well in this area with the family integrating fully into Village life.

I do object to the further provisions contained in DM28 for the following reasons.

The sites at Curtis Mill Lane do not meet the stated criteria in that there is no realistic access to public transport, shops or schools. The access to these sites is via an unmade, un-serviced by-way totally unsuited to the extra traffic movements occasioned by these five pitches. The occupiers have cleared fauna rich brush and replaced it with a huge swathe of sterile, urban lawn and associated buildings. The adjacent SSSI and bridle path have been seriously affected by discharged sewage that Brentwood is either unable or unwilling to control.

The Hope Farm site in Goatswood Lane (erroneously listed as Horseman Side by BBC) is an absolute eyesore – street lighting, brick pillars and iron gates with acres of Green-belt buried under tarmac & brick. There has been excessive harm caused to green belt land with a total loss of openness in an area where no amount of screening or landscaping could minimise this impact. The very existence of this site has drawn in many more Gypsy families and the population in this corner of Navestock is now totally un-balanced with six 'settled' households being totally dominated by 30-plus caravan-based families, as allowed by Brentwood and Epping Councils, with a further 20-25 just a half-mile away allowed by Havering Council.. The occupiers of the sites show no respect for the rural environment they have moved into and all around the sites pollution in the form of discarded nappies, general litter, glass bottles and even discharged human sewage can be found around the boundaries, just outside the fencing of the sites.

Unlike other sites in Brentwood I have not found a single resident who supports this provision, nor could I find any resident who had not experienced intimidation or abuse from those living there. Every so-called 'settled' resident and business owner in the South West of the village gave examples of trespass and theft that they trace back to these static 'travellers'.

Brentwood Planners' count of sites/pitches is unrealistic, fluctuating between 96 sites in 2012 (assuming 2 pitches per site – 192 pitches) reduced to only 105 Pitches in 2013. Sadly nothing the planning representative stated in his briefing regarding these counts was reassuring. Access to sites for census purposes should mandatory not by invitation. Without accurate counts the proposal to meet need is just a guess!

We have been repeatedly told that action will be taken to regularise this planner blight but seem to be left without a plan, just the ad-hoc granting of permission to a group of people who are increasingly seen to above planning law.

The quality of static caravan(each one in excess of £70,000), the age of vehicles (most seem to be less than 5yrs old), the TV satellite dish attached to each caravan and the boasts made about mobile phones etc. at a recent meeting leads me to believe that lack of funds is an exaggeration of reduced circumstances, not in fact the reality. A family of professional boxers who proudly display a clutch of medals, cups and belts should be able to afford regular accommodation.

I have no faith in the ability of the proposed provision of 44 pitches to allow Brentwood to remove all unauthorised sites from our area as it still leaves our Borough without a Council provided site or any site that could accommodate a real nomadic lifestyle!



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1621**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable) _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I OBJECT TO THE GYPSY + TRAVELLER PROVISION
IN THE PLAN FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: -

THE COUNCILS GYPSY + TRAVELLERS COUNT IS
WRONG THERE ARE TOO MANY AND THEY ARE
INTIMIDATING

COND. ON SEPERATE SHEET

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by **Friday 2nd August 2013**

We have had break ins to buildings on the property and cars police and council can not keep us safe, and the new plans will have nothing to new to help the situation. the travellers are very cruel to their animals tiring up dogs and leaving the to die and the same with their horses, Resulting in the RSPA being constantly called along with rescue centres. I is so sad for residence to see when they are going on a family walk and see so many animals in distress. The travellers walk along the road with guns in their hand it is very frightening. And recently there has been a shooting and there has been up to 10 armed police offices down our road. Our road has had to be closed twice. There are too many travellers in Navestock. The rubbish in our roads is horrific as is the cost of clearing it up. The travellers site does not meet the necessary criteria because it is not near shops schools healthcare or public transport. The travellers site is on green belt land which should only be used for agricultural purposes. the council is NOT respecting the interest of the Brentwood residence.

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1622

Ack. date

BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: First Name: Last Name:

Organisation (if applicable)

Job title (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT

Address: Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 11.9.13

Post Code:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

[Redacted comment]

We must take control over where and how they live rather than them making all their own rules which not contributing to our village life.



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1623**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.
Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I Object to Gypsy and Traveller Provisions in the Plan for the following reasons; our village is being destroyed by Gypsy and traveller sites, we have to cope with rubbish in our lanes, sewage in ditches, trespassing, thieving and intimidating, the councils Plan will do nothing to stop this but only make it worse.

* See attached sheet.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by the deadline date and time.

The trespassing thieving and intimidation is at a very high level the police and council do not and can not keep us safe, the new plans will have will not help the situation. the travellers are very cruel to their animals Resulting in the RSPA being constantly called (they were called this week) It scares me when i see the The travellers some only children walking along the road with guns in their hand it is very frightening. And recently there has been a shooting, armed police have been down our road constantly There are too many travellers in Navestock. The rubbish in the and around the roads and fields is horrific as is the cost of clearing it up. The travellers site does not meet the necessary criteria because it is not near shops schools healthcare or public transport. The travellers site is on green belt land which should only be used for agricultural purposes. the council is **NOT** respecting the interest of the Brentwood residence.



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1630

Ack. date *F*

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, you can complete this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____
Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
Organisation (if applicable): (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.
Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: NAVESTOCK COMMON

Post Code: _____ Telephone Number: _____

Email Address: _____

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

1 Sheet - attached.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan
All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

I object to the Gypsy & traveller provisions contained in Draft local plan because it does not seem to allow for all Travellers currently living in Navestock, let alone the larger Brentwood Area. According to the 2012 Monitoring report there were 96 sites, which if they only have two pitches each means that there is a need for at least 192 pitches. Allowing 44 pitches (which includes a five-year forward provision) makes no sense. We have constantly been told that the Navestock Travellers could not be moved on because Brentwood had no allocated Gypsy sites for them to move onto – how is this new lack of provision any better?

The sheer number of Travellers in our corner of Brentwood, edged as it is by both Eppings & Haverings sites mean they feel beyond the law. I have suffered threats and intimidation from 'Travellers' when just going about my business. I am often unable to use Goatswood Lane because of huge caravans being manoeuvred onto these sites. I have been forced to take evasive action to avoid colliding with one of their Transit Vans or 4x4's that are driven at speed and without consideration on unsuitable roads. Their ponies are now ripping up the roadside verges making what was lush vegetation an eyesore.

There is no question that these sites are a blot on our landscape and it is past time that Brentwood came up with a workable scheme that would provide for rate-payers rather than Irish interlopers.





**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1633**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.
Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

GYPSY & TRAVELLER PROVISION - DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

Over the last few years so many gypsies and travellers have moved into Navestock, that they now outnumber us villagers. We endure rubbish dumped on our verges, sewage in our ditches, the flouting of planning rules, trespass, thieving, abuse, intimidation – and now a shooting.

Worse still, Brentwood Council will not even admit to the problem. Instead they try to mask it with their inaccurate counting of pitches – anyone just has to drive around Navestock for 5 minutes to see that there are many, many more pitches than Brentwood council says there are. This is a planned deception of rate payers. If the Council's Officers cannot get into the sites to make an accurate count, they must do an aerial survey – or get the police helicopter to take photos for them.

Until they do this any future Draft Plan is misleading and pointless.

Navestock has suffered terribly because of so many gypsy and traveller sites. The council should remove all the illegal sites in Navestock and not turn any into legal sites. We have done our bit. Enough is enough. The time has come for Brentwood Council to help us.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1646**
Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Title: First Name:

Organisation (if applicable):

Job title (if applicable): **Housewife**

Address:

Post Code:

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28 Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I live on Horseman Side, in close proximity to the gypsy site. I cannot see that a permanent site aids their nomadic life style.

Sites should be smaller, so that the residents can become part of the community. Navestock has had a gypsy site for 50 years. Family members being part of the working and social

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex. CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

Life of the community

The site at Horseman Side started over a Bank Holiday weekend. Gates, tarmac and homes moving in. Despite Brentwood Borough Council being informed, they did nothing. They should have acted immediately. The site has just grown. I would not be allowed to tarmac over agricultural land.

A living van on my property, for my family is out of the question. The rules for gypsies should be the same as for established Narestock families.

I have encountered gypsies from the site in my garden. They admitted they were from the site. Even when I am in the garden, the house is kept locked. No small garden tools left out overnight. The joy and comfort of living in Narestock has gone. Returning home after dark is always worrying. Will there be gypsies in my garden? Will my house have been broken into?

cont. road

3.

Their sewage seems to run into roadside ditches. The smell is offensive. The Council plan does not seem to be on the side of the village. Village life has been destroyed by the arrival of the site. Recent new settled residents have fixed, big gates and press button entry. This does not achieve a friendly village.

The Horseman Side site has harmed the green belt, does not have access to services or facilities. The site does harm the character of the area. The site is not designed or landscaped to minimise impact.



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1648**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: **Mr Mrs** First Name

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Organisation (if applicable)

Job title (if applicable):

Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Address:

Post Code:

Phone Number:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

please find attached sheet



Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

We object to the Gypsy and Traveller Provisions in the Plan for the following reasons:

As a house very close to the site we experience the worst of them. Firstly, they are very loud and noisy for most of the day and until late at night, with noise consisting of shouting and extremely loud music, as well as shooting on one occasion.

Secondly, the area surrounding the site is constantly littered and we ourselves end up clearing dumped rubbish in order to make the roadsides acceptable, and particularly those surrounding our house. It often smells of sewage in our garden due what we believe to be human waste dumped into the ditches rather than being properly and sanitarily disposed of. On numerous occasions we have driven behind individuals driving in a completely unsafe manner down Church Road, only to find that the vehicles then pull into the caravan park. Frequently they will stop in the middle of the road to have a chat while a queue of cars forms behind them. Furthermore, children and teenagers from the caravan park can often be seen walking completely in the middle of the roads; with the nature of the bends in these roads, it is more than likely that they will be hit by a car, and the driver would surely be to blame for this.

Thirdly and most importantly, we do not feel safe in our own home due to the following reasons; in 2010, we received a form from the Council which we returned stating our negative feelings towards the caravan site. Unfortunately, this letter was a public resource and was therefore accessible to the public. The day following the council's refusal, our house was burgled. This occurred twice thereafter. We have had instances where children passing by from the caravan park have thrown stones at our windows, and even set fireworks off in our garden in the night.

Within the space of 1 year we have had three incidents of crime and this definitely does not coincide with the police officer saying at the public meeting that 'Navestock does not have a high crime rate'.

Due to all of this, we now live behind a 2m high gate purely because we do not feel safe. We are seriously considering installing high fences or even a brick wall which is a shame when living in the countryside. We have already attempted to sell the house but this proved impossible as anyone coming to view it realised the close proximity to the caravan park.

Overall, we feel that Brentwood Council does not respect our position as law-abiding citizens and loyal taxpayers.

29/09/2013

29/09/2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

(For official use only)
Comment No. 1650
Act. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:	First Name:	Last Name:
Organisation (if applicable)	NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.	
Job title (if applicable):		
Address:	Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.	
Post Code:		
Email Address:		

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

SEE ATTACHED

I object to Brentwood County Council's proposed gypsy and traveller provision in the local plan.

The sites being considered will cause (and have already caused) unacceptable harm to the Green Belt land. One of the proposed sites – Hope Farm on Horsemanside is fast accelerating into the next Crays Hill.

In the plan Brentwood County Council's count of the current number of gypsies living in Navestock is grossly inaccurate. It is common knowledge that the number of gypsies/travellers in the parish now vastly outnumber the settled residents.

The National Policy on Traveller sites quotes "The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way whilst respecting the interests of the settled community. Brentwood County Council has not shown itself to respect the interests of the settled residents of Navestock.

The number of gypsies/travellers moving into our parish illegally is totally out of control. Brentwood Borough Council has shown itself to be completely ineffective in dealing with the problem, demonstrated time and time again by the establishment and development of illegal plots on Green Belt land and the Council's complete inability to evict not a single one of them.

As a resident of Navestock I have been subjected to intimidation, abuse and theft by the gypsies/travellers. We have to put up with rubbish strewn all over the lanes, old tyres dumped on the verges, burnt-out cars and vans, and sewage in our ditches. All of which is on the increase due to the swelling number of gypsies/travellers living illegally in our parish.

What we need is a workable framework put in place to prevent further encroachment and remove travellers living illegally from the sites inside Navestock. Only then can we take a realistic view on what the genuine gypsy/travellers requirements are and set about meeting these accordingly.



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013**

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No **1651**

Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable): **Afraid of Bears**

Address: _____ **NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.**

Post Code: _____
Email Address: _____
**Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.**

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support
Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I object to Gypsy and Traveller Sites in Navestock Area I think there are too many. They just buy a field and the next thing it is all concrete plots. which at the time are illegal (with no planning permission) they seem to do what they like and the Council seem afraid of them.

A Navestock Resident.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan
All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment
No. **1653**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:	First Name:	Last Name:
Organisation (if applicable)	NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.	
Job title (if applicable):	Name and address withheld as OK'd	
Address:	by Brentwood Planning Department	
Post Code:	(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.	
Email Address:		

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required): **I object for the following reasons:**

- 1) The sites which are being given consideration are agricultural use + will destroy arden Belt by being tampered with.
- 2) Gypsy sites are destroyed or are illegal due to trespassing + trespassers. They are rubbish + severe + intimidate locals. The criteria for sites on Navestock is not applicable because they are not near services or transport.
- 3) The Council's will not conform the actual number of gypsy + travellers because there are more than the local community.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1653

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:

First Name:

Last Name:

Organisation (if applicable):

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Job title (if applicable):

Address:

Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Post Code:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

SEE ATTACHED 3 SHEETS

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

[REDACTED]
**Horseman Side, Navestock,
Brentwood, Essex** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

**Navestock Parish Council,
Navestock Village Hall,
Navestock Side,
Navestock,
Essex, CM14 5SD.**

September 25, 2013

I enclose my submission to join with others from residents of Navestock in objecting to Brentwood Borough Council's Local Plan 2015-2030 Policy DM 28: Gypsy and Traveller Provision.

As I live in Horseman Side, I prefer that my name and address are withheld from the submission.

If you have any queries on my submission, please contact me as soon as possible.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Brentwood Borough Council Local Plan 2015-2030

Policy DM28: Gypsy and Traveller Provision

I object to the Provision

I object to the Gypsy and Traveller Provision in the Plan for these reasons (my objections are based primarily on the gypsy and traveller sites at the junction of Horseman Side and Goatswood Lane, Navestock, which are close to my home):

- 1) I accept that the Borough Council is obliged to provide sites for gypsies and travellers but Navestock has been allocated three sites in the Plan while the rest of the Borough has only two.
- 2) The sites at the junction of Horseman Side and Goatswood Lane are now occupied by more caravans and other semi-permanent dwellings than were originally agreed by the Borough Council. The literature presented by the Borough Council at its meeting with Navestock residents stated that the Hope Farm, Horseman Side, site would have three pitches. I understand that "pitches" has no nationally-accepted definition but "three pitches" cannot mean the 12 (at least) caravans and other buildings on the sites. I emphasise that it is not one site but at least two (the local media have reported, with aerial photographs, that two sites are for gypsies and travellers of Irish origin; a third site is for gypsies and travellers of non-Irish origin).
- 3) These sites have been tarmaced and paved in clear contravention of Green Belt legislation.
- 4) These sites do not come anywhere close to meeting the accepted criteria of being near shops, schools, healthcare facilities and public transport.
- 5) The occupants of these sites appear to have no responsibility towards and connection with the rest of the community. The roads near the sites have more litter than other lanes in the areas, there is evidence of sewage in ditches, Sites of Special Scientific Interest have been destroyed and there are reports of trespass, theft and intimidation by occupants of the sites. As of the last few days, a horse belonging to a resident of the sites has been tethered and grazing on roadsides at least 300 metres from the sites.
- 6) There was recently a double-shooting at one of the sites. A Police officer has indicated that residents of the sites include people connected with systematic car thefts in the Greater London area.
- 7) I am advised by Navestock Parish Council that gypsies and travellers now outnumber the settled community in Navestock and that the Borough Council refuses to make an accurate count of the residents of the sites for gypsies and travellers. This is a further example of the Borough Council's "blind eye" to what is happening in and around the sites.
- 8) The Borough Council's Plan quotes the national policy on traveller sites as "the Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal

treatment for travellers in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way while respecting the interests of the settled community". The Borough Council has clearly not respected the interests of the settled community.

September 25, 2013



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1751

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____ NAVESTOCK RESIDENT. 2993

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____ Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object **VERY STRONGLY!**

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I feel very strongly about my Local Councils' continued determination to prioritize the needs of a chosen traditionally/essentially Nomadic life style of 'Travellers'/Gypsies'.
Said 'Travellers'/Gypsies have no qualms ignoring local laws/rules
~ paving over/tarmacing precious Green Belt land ~ wantonly expanding the official/sufficient sites correctly provided by local councils in response to Nat. Government guide lines
~ Obvious excess rubbish, sewage and extensions of sites are the common result
~ Neighbouring trespass, Intimidation and Thieving commonly reported.
ENOUGH IS ENOUGH! - Prioritize the wishes of your tax paying, law abiding, considerate, Permanent! **VOTING! RESIDENT FIRST!**

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

TRAVELLERS ~ MOVE ON! NO MORE GYPSY SITES! KEEP MOVING!



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

752

Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 P... at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, contents of the Local Plan.

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:

First Name:

Organisation (if applicable)

Job title (if applicable):

I would love to give you my name

Address:

BOT

I don't want to have my house set alight

Post Code:

==

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I have lived in Navestock for 20 years and watched with a sad heart the so called Travellers, that do NOT travel make our village an open sewer, with rubbish and caravans on what is supposed to be Green belt land. We pay very high council tax, yet we have neither street lighting, rain drainage, or even roads without pot holes. But we do have Gypsies that carry guns and I have been robbed three times in the past 5 years. We pay you to do a job. Do it or are you too cowardly to do the right things. That if I put a caravan in my garden, you would be down on me like a

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

ton of bricks !!!



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment
No.

1753

Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:

First Name:

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Organisation (if applicable)

Job title (if applicable):

Address:

Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Post Code:

Telephone
Number:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I object because of the increased crime rate in the area, the rubbish in ditches and the fact that they now outnumber the rest of the community. The council don't seem to be paying any attention to the needs of the people of Navestock.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1757

Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: First Name: Last Name: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Organisation (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Job title (if applicable):

Address: Name and address withheld as OK'd

Post Code: by Brentwood Planning Department

Email Address: (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM28.

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support
Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I OBJECT TO THE GYPSY & TRAVELLER PROVISIONS IN THE PLAN AS THE GYPSY & TRAVELLERS NOW OUTNUMBER OUR SETTLED COMMUNITY AND THIS HAS HAD A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON OUR VILLAGE IN A NUMBER OF WAYS - PARTICULARLY CRIME, DESTRUCTION & LITTERING AND GENERAL ABUSE OF THE LAND & GREEN BELT. THERE IS NO TRANSPORT IN OUR VILLAGE, OR SCHOOLS, SHOPS OR HEALTHCARE, - AS THESE ARE

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

SUPPOSEDLY PART OF THE CRITERIA FOR A SUITABLE SITE - NAVESTOCK ORIGINALLY MEANT NOT



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1758

Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____ Telephone Number: _____

Email Address: _____

BRENTWOOD B.C.
27 SEP 2013
Received

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I FULLY SUPPORT THE POSITION OF NAVESTOCK PARISH COUNCIL.
GYPSY'S AND TRAVELLERS CANNOT BE ALLOWED TO BEHAVE AS THEY LIKE
THEY MUST OBEY THE LAWS OF THE LAND, LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE,
IF NOT PROSECUTED, BROUGHT TO JUSTICE AND PENALISED AND PAY
THE PENALTY. BRENTWOOD COUNCIL HAVE GOT TO MAKE SURE
THAT THIS SMALL GROUP ARE NOT ALLOWED TO FLAUNT THE LAW
TO THE SUFFERING OF THE REST OF US

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No

1759 + 1760

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: First Name: Last Name:

Organisation (if applicable): **NAVESTOCK PARISH COUNCIL**

Job title (if applicable):

Address: **6/o VILLAGE HALL
HORSEMAN'S SIDE, NAVESTOCK CM14 5SD**

Post Code: **CM14 5SD** Telephone Number:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM28 + DM11

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support
Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

**PLEASE SEE ATTACHED
2 SHEETS**

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

Following the presentation in our Village Hall of the Brentwood Local Plan 2015 – 2030 we would like to formally state our views, which cover 2 areas of your plan.

Policy DM28: Gypsy and Traveller Provision

The influx of illegally-sited gypsies and travellers into Navestock has now reached epidemic proportions, bringing with it fears and problems for our community. It is commonly believed that there are now more gypsies and travellers in Navestock and within 600 yards of our parish boundary than there are settled residents.

A major objection we have is that your figures on sites and pitches, on which the Plan is based, are hopelessly wrong. If our Local Authority, Brentwood, has no idea of the correct number of Gypsy and Traveller pitches in Navestock it is little wonder that our residents feel abandoned by their Borough Council. Perhaps more importantly, the figures you put in the plan are so grossly misleading that they render it unfit (and possibly open to legal challenge) to be published in such an authoritative document.

We would also like to remind Brentwood Council of the National Policy which states that “there should be fair and equal treatment for travellers...while respecting the interests of the settled community. Thus far Brentwood Council has not respected the interests of Navestock residents and we hope they will now grasp the opportunity to do so.

More specifically, we object to the Gypsy & Traveller Provisions for the following reasons:-

The sites being considered in Navestock do not meet the stated criteria in that there is insufficient access to local services, such as shops, primary and secondary schools, healthcare and public transport.

The sites create unacceptable harm to the Green Belt by tarmacing and the paving over of previously agricultural use only land and despoiling adjacent designated SSSI property.

Road access is via rural lanes unsuitable to the lorry's and Van's used by the Travellers.

The proposed accommodation will harm the character and appearance of the area and result in unacceptable visual impact.

The sites are located in a position that cannot be landscaped to minimise the impact on the surrounding environment.

The Plan does not include details of consultation with adjacent Authorities which has lead to an overwhelming number of Gypsy/Traveller pitches in a confined area (the gypsies and travellers living on these sites now outnumber the 'settled' Navestock residents).

The published document quotes from National Policy on Traveller sites as “The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way while respecting the interests of

the settled community". Nothing in this policy provides for the needs, financial well-being or security or the so called 'settled'
It is time that Brentwood Borough Council did actually start respecting our interests.

The number of pitches suggested (44 permanent pitches) to provide accommodation into the next decade and to "maintain a five year supply of pitches" falls woefully short of the current established need (Brentwood Borough's own figure) of 96 SITES! There is nothing in this document designed to 'protect' residents in the way officers are predicting.

Policy DM11: New Development in the Green Belt

On a more positive note, Navestock Parish Council would welcome a small number of homes being built on various brownfield sites which could be identified within the village.

We believe that if care is exercised, the village could sustain approximately 8 new homes each year for the next 15 years. These new residents, especially those with young children, would bring new life to our community and benefits for our residents over the coming years.

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013**

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. 1761

Ack. date

View the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Post Code: Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department

Email Address: (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

Please see attached 5 page sheet.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex S15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk. Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

As a resident of Navestock, I wish to make the following comments on Policy DM28 Gypsy & Traveller Provision in Brentwood Borough Council's Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options for Consultation Document ("the Plan") dated July 2013:

The number of sites required

Policy DM28 states that provision will be made within the Borough to meet the need for 44 permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitches to 2030. However, I am unclear as to the actual number of pitches, both those in existence and those proposed:

1. paragraphs 4.122 and 4.124 on page 146 state that a new target and plan needs to be done once an updated needs assessment, apparently currently underway (although no time scale is given and I can find no reference to it on the Council's website) is completed so until that updated target and plan is available, affected residents do not know what they are being consulted on. Their suspicion will be that by the time the updated assessment is available, the time available for consultation will not allow the Council to make many amendments to their draft Local Plan before it is adopted.
2. Taking the Council's current target of 44 permanent pitches by 2030, para 4.123 states that authorised sites as of July 2013 provide for an estimated 30 pitches (20 on sites with temporary permission, 10 with permanent permission). It would be helpful to know how this estimate was produced, because if accurate it would seem that there is a need for only 14 more pitches on the current target.
3. It is unclear whether the 20 pitches the Council propose to allocate on the five named sites on page 145 of the Plan are the same 20 pitches referred to in para 4.123 as having temporary permission (they obviously don't have permanent permission); if so, they would provide 20 of the additional 24 with permanent permission needed up to 2021.
4. Para 4.124 states that the policy proposes in the first instance to allocate for permanent use a number of existing temporary sites (but does not specify them) and to guide future provision through identifying a broad location (which the policy does not appear to do).
5. As I understand it, there is no agreed definition of a "pitch" but this is normally taken to refer to one household, albeit that they may consist of more than one generation and have more than one caravan on the pitch. What checks do the Council carry out to ensure that pitches are actually occupied by only one household? Without some form of checking, how can residents be assured that a pitch is not in fact two or more pitches?
6. The policy refers to the CLG bi-annual caravan count, which is referred to in more detail at section 5.54 on page 29 of the Interim SA report dated December 2011. Section 8.5.10 of the same report at page 20 states "There was a rise in unauthorised caravans from January 2007, to January 2009, after which there was a sharp decline due to a number of temporary permissions being granted". However, according to the table published on page 29, the number of unauthorised caravans during that period declined from 20 to 17 and has risen ever since, almost tripling from a total of 34 in January 2007 to 98 in July 2011.
7. There is a basic problem with the CLG count, which is that it is based on figures provided by the Council. The residents have no confidence in the Council's count; indeed, at a Parish council meeting not long ago, the Council's representative admitted that they found it difficult to gain access to the sites and seemed not to have thought of an independent check

such as an easily obtainable aerial photograph. Until the Council provide clear evidence of the correct number of caravans, they are failing in their duty to the residents, who from their own observations are clear that there are considerably more caravans and Gypsies/Travellers than the Council seem to think.

National Policy

1. Policy DM28 quotes paragraphs 3, 8, 9 and 10 Planning Policy for traveller sites, published by the CLG in March 2012, but rather conveniently omits the following paragraphs:
 - a. Para 4 of the Introduction-two of the bullet points setting out the Government's aims in respect of traveller sites are "that plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development" and "that plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement effective".
 - b. Policy E: Traveller sites in Green Belt. The two paragraphs of this read:

"14. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development

15. Green Belt boundaries should be altered only in exceptional circumstances. If a local planning authority wishes to make an exceptional limited alteration to the defined Green Belt boundary (which might be to accommodate a site inset within the Green Belt) to meet a specific, identified need for a traveller site, it should do so only through the plan-making process and not in response to a planning application. If land is removed from the Green Belt, it should be specifically allocated in the development plan as a traveller site only". There is no such allocation in the Plan so can Navestock residents assume that the Council has no such proposal?
 - c. Policy H: Determining planning applications for traveller sites. Paragraph 23 of this reads:

"Local planning authorities should strictly limit new traveller site development in open countryside that is away from existing settlements or outside areas allocated in the development plan. Local planning authorities should ensure that sites in rural areas respect the scale of, and do not dominate the nearest settled community, and avoid placing an undue pressure on the local infrastructure". The Hope farm/Lizvale Farm/Orchard View site at the junction of Horseman Side and Goatswood Lane is clearly in open countryside away from existing settlements and outside areas allocated in the development plan.

Balancing the interests of Gypsies/Travellers and the settled community

1. Para 3 of the National Policy states the Government's overarching aim to be to ensure "fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way of life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community". The Council's proposals do not constitute fair and equal treatment, since they would allow travellers to

completely ignore Green Belt planning restrictions, when local residents in the settled community are, and have been for many years, required to abide by them. It is also questionable as to whether some of the travellers in Navestock are following or wish to follow a traditional and nomadic way of life; they appear to want permanent residence.

2. Para 9 of the National Policy requires local authorities to relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population's size and density. The present Hope Farm/Lizvale Farm/Orchard View site at the junction of Horseman Side and Goatswood Lane has been allowed by the Council to grow to a considerable size and dominates the local small settlements. The Council proposes in the Plan to authorise 3 pitches at Hope Farm but it seems clear that the Gypsies and travellers on the larger site there are hoping to get the Council to "rubber-stamp" their unauthorised occupation and the residents fear that the Council will do so, rather than protecting the interests of their settled Navestock residents, who obey the Green Belt planning rules, pay their Council Tax and contribute to the local community, none of which is done by the Gypsies and Travellers.
3. Chapter 2 of the Local Plan, setting out the Council's spatial strategy, sets out a hierarchy of place and has four settlement categories of main towns, village service centres, large villages and smaller villages. There is no category for hamlets which is basically what Navestock consists of and I believe the plan is deficient in this respect as it leaves Navestock and similar settlements not covered by the spatial strategy. The Plan acknowledges that smaller villages have poor public transport, limited shops, jobs and community facilities; Navestock is arguably smaller still and therefore cannot provide the facilities which according to (b) of the Council's criteria in Policy DM28 are required for Gypsy and Traveller sites. The Council's spatial strategy states that all development sites will be identified having regard to whether they are accessible to public transport, services and facilities (which the Hope Farm etc site isn't) and will have "no significant impact on the Green Belt".
4. One of the general development criteria in the Council's Policy DM1 on page 74 of the Plan is that proposals for development should "cause no unacceptable effects on adjoining sites, property or their occupiers through excessive noise, activity or vehicle movements". Have the Council made enquiries of adjoining settled owners to existing unauthorised sites? They will readily confirm to the Council the decrease they have suffered in the value of their properties and the problems they suffer from their physical location.
5. It is a well-known fact that since the rise in the number of Gypsies/travellers, there has been an increase in local fly-tipping, criminal behaviour (a stabbing not so long ago and the recent shooting), anti-social behaviour by the Gypsies/travellers (riding their horses across Stapleford Abbots Golf Course, obstructing traffic while racing their horses and carts and trespassing with their horses). It is revealing that the Council have allowed responses to the Plan's consultation process from local residents such as myself to be anonymous in view of the fear of reprisals.
6. The provision of more authorised sites for Gypsies/Travellers is intended to provide a "win-win" situation for both them and the wider community, allowing them to access services and become part of and contribute more towards communities in which they reside. This is fine but will be better achieved by having more small sites than fewer larger sites, as the latter lead to hostility between the Gypsies/travellers and the settled community, with the latter fearing being dominated. If the Council were to allow the continued unauthorised occupation of a larger site such as the Hope Farm/Lizvale Farm/Orchard View one, residents

will fear another Dale Farm situation. It is also not in the interests of the Gypsies/Travellers to have such a large site as it threatens to become a "ghetto"; indeed, my information is that the site contains a number of different Gypsy/Traveller families who do not see eye to eye and that this is one source of the problems there.

Protection of the Green Belt

1. Policy DM 28 states that planning permission for Gypsy and Traveller sites will be granted in accordance with stated criteria, including that "the site does not give rise to unacceptable harm to the Green Belt". I am not sure where the concept of "unacceptable harm" comes from but residents will suspect that this is an attempt to set a lower bar than that set out in the National Policy and indeed in the council's own Green Belt policy. The Council's spatial strategy requires all development sites to be identified having regard to whether they "will have no significant impact on the Green Belt"; how does this square with the concept of "unacceptable harm"? The Local Plan confirms on page 99 that NPPF paragraph 88 sets out that when considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to "any harm to the Green Belt", not that there is "no unacceptable harm". The acid test appears to be the one of "very special circumstances" set out by the Government in the NPPF, as confirmed in paragraph 14 of the Planning policy for traveller sites published by CLG in March 2012 (see point 1(b) in the section above relating to National Policy). The NPPF paragraph states that "very special circumstances" will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness and any other harm is clearly outweighed by other considerations". I do not see how the various types of harm that flow from allowing any, let alone a large scale, Gypsy/Traveller authorised site in the Green Belt could possibly be clearly outweighed by other considerations.
2. The Council point out in the Plan that eighty per cent of the Borough lies in Green Belt and that residents view protecting the Green Belt as their highest priority along with protecting the quality and character of the Borough. Navestock residents would like the Council to actually deliver on their Green Belt promises.
3. The Plan states that the NPPF advises planning authorities to look to neighbouring authorities to meet unmet need where they cannot meet this themselves and that the Council "is exploring options in this regard". This is an obvious avenue to explore in connection with providing Gypsy/Traveller sites outside the Green Belt and in the next iteration of the Plan the Council should report the outcome of their exploration.
4. The Plan states at 2.11 on page 11 "Other than small scale development to meet identified needs, very little development is expected to take place in these generally remote, landscape-sensitive locations which lack the facilities and infrastructure required to support development". Navestock residents hope that the Council delivers on this aim.
5. The Council's Policy CP10 on page 46 of the Plan states that "the general extent of the Green Belt across the Borough will be retained subject to minor allocations made in this Plan affecting Green Belt, where new development has had the effect of consolidating settlement patterns so as to create a defensible boundary". Navestock residents fear that the Council is intending to exclude the area including the Hope Farm etc site, which is near to the border with the London Borough of Havering where there appear to be other Gypsy/Traveller sites springing up, from the Green Belt and possibly to co-operate with LBH

and/or Epping Council to make the general area on these authorities' respective borders a Gypsy/Traveller area for authorised sites. There is no provision for this in the Plan as required by para 15 of the CLG's Planning policy for travellers (see point 1 (b) under the National Policy heading above) so can Navestock residents assume the Council has no such plans?

6. Policy DM11 in the Plan states that planning permission for change of use of land in the Green Belt will be refused permission except in very special circumstances and that in assessing proposals for development in the Green Belt the Council will have regard, amongst other factors, to "whether the proposal will diminish or support people's quiet enjoyment of the countryside" and "the need to preserve or enhance existing landscape and ecological features". Para 3.28 of the Local plan on page 44 confirms that "The vast majority of the rural area is "wooded farmland", comprising undulating areas of deciduous and mixed woodland interspersed with arable fields, mature hedgerows, smaller pastures and paddocks, and narrow lanes with a sense of tranquillity away from the main roads. Several areas of ancient woodland are present. This landscape type is highly sensitive to change". Navestock is such an area.

The Localism Act 2011

The Council will be aware of the Localism Act 2011, whose stated aim is that power should be exercised at the lowest possible level, close to the people who are affected by decisions, rather than distant from them. So far as I am aware, none of the Borough's Councillors or Council staff or anyone else who is instrumental in preparing and delivering the Plan actually lives in Navestock so in order to comply with the Localism Act, I believe it is for the Council to listen to the views of Navestock residents, both as expressed by their representative body of the Parish Council and by residents personally and weigh these carefully in making decisions relating to the preparation and delivery of the Plan.



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1762

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:

First Name

Last Name

Organisation (if applicable)

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Job title (if applicable):

Address:

A

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Post Code:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28 - GYPSEY + TRAVELLER PROVISION

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required): WE LIVE IN NAVESTOCK AND MOVED HERE OVER 20 YEARS AGO FOR THE QUIET, RURAL WAY OF LIFE. NOT ANY MORE THOUGH, AND FOR OBVIOUS REASONS WE DON'T WANT TO DIVULGE OUR PERSONAL DETAILS. WE AGREE 100% WITH OUR PARISH COUNCIL. HOW CAN NAVESTOCK BE SUITABLE FOR GYPSY TRAVELLERS WE HAVE NO TRANSPORT, SHOPS, SCHOOLS ETC. THE AMOUNT OF FLY TIPPING HAS INCREASED VASTLY IN THE LANES AROUND US AND NAVESTOCK RESIDENTS ARE NOW BEING OUTNUMBERED. THIS CANNOT BE RIGHT. I COULD NOT AND WOULD NOT BUILD ON MY LAND WITHOUT PERMISSION YET THEY DO WHAT THEY LIKE. SOMETHING HAS TO BE DONE. THE FARM LAND IS BEING DESTROYED. IF IT COST THE BOROUGH COUNCIL TO ACT WE ALL WOULD PAY EXTRA FOR ACTION TO BE TAKEN. FINALLY WE ALL THANK THE PARISH COUNCIL FOR LOOKING AFTER OUR INTEREST BECAUSE THE BOROUGH COUNCIL IS NOT. OUR VILLAGE IS BEING DESTROYED BOROUGH COUNCIL TAKE NOTE. (A RESIDENT)

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

(For official use only)

Comment
No.

1763

Act. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:	First Name:	Last Name:
Organisation (if applicable):	As Agreed by Council representative at recent	
Job title (if applicable):	Draft Plan presentation. NOT PREPARED TO GIVE	
Address:	PERSONAL DETAILS - SUBMITTED VIA	
Post Code:	Telephone Number:	NAVESTOCK VILLAGE POLICE COUNCIL
Email Address:		

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate).

Support

Object

in DM 28: Gypsy + Traveller Provision

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

As a local family we are appalled that Brentwood Council have allowed this situation with the 'GROWING' illegal settlements in NAVESTOCK to continue.

In Navestock it is common knowledge that the settled community are outnumbered by the Gypsy + Travellers, however Brentwood Council are portraying a totally inaccurate picture within quoted number for the proposed Draft local Plan.

The Council surely have a commitment to the Local Community as this obviously causes ill-feeling as the 'Green Belt' and environment are being completely destroyed.

This is a rural community and should be returned to its original status but presently local people are completely intimidated by the influx of these illegal settlements as rules are not in anyway adhered to whether humane or community based. The continuous disturbance of Police helicopters or armed raids particularly in Greatwood Lane both before recent incident and obviously since is simply not acceptable to the character within a country community.

Please refer to the Council's website for more information on the Local Plan process. All responses should be sent to the Council's Planning Department.

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1764

Ack date



BRENTWOOD BOROUGH COUNCIL

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: MR First Name: [redacted] Last Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Job title (if applicable): [redacted]

Address: [redacted]

Post Code: RM4 [redacted]

Email Address: [redacted]

NAME AND ADDRESS WITHHELD AS OK'D BY BRENTWOOD PLANNING DEPARTMENT (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

We object to the description of these people as "gypsies & travellers" - they are no such thing. This must offend real gypsies & travellers. The people to whom we refer are opportunist squatters with no regard for the laws of the land or for those that their anti-social & illegal behaviour is having upon existing (lawful) residents. They contribute nothing to the parish. Establish a proper transit site, by all means, but evict these squatters & use some of the land they have ruined to build small pockets of low-cost starter homes, to boost the tiny population of Navestock. At present there is one law for us but no law for squatters.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM14 0AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk. Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1768

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:

First Name:

Organisation (if applicable):

Job title (if applicable):

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Address:

Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Post Code:

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28 - GYPSEY + TRAVELLER PROVISION

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required): I OBJECT FOR THE BELOW REASONS.
THERE ARE ALREADY MORE TRAVELLERS THAN THAT PROPOSED AND NO CONTROL
OF ENFORCEMENT IS MADE.
RUBISH HAS THIS WEEK BEEN DUMPED IN DYTCHING LANE.
TYRES IN HORSEMAN SIDE & I HAVE BEEN PERSONALLY PULLED OUT
WHILE DRIVING TO BUY TOOLS ETC.
NAVESTOCK HAS 0% PER HEAD ALREADY HIGHER THAN OTHER AREAS.
THE NAVESTOCK COMMUNITY REQUIRES THE COUNCIL TO PROVIDE ALL THE
COMMUNITY WITH FAIR & EQUAL TREATMENT,
THIS SHOULD INCLUDE MAKING SURE THE LIFESTYLE ENJOYED BY
BRENTWOOD IS EQUAL IN ALL AREAS.
WE ALREADY HAVE FAR MORE THAN OUR SHARE OF TRAVELLER PROBLEMS
AND CRAYS HILL SHOULD BE ENOUGH OF A WARNING TO LACK OF
ENFORCEMENT.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1771

Ask date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: [redacted] First Name: NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Organisation (if applicable)

Job title (if applicable):

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

Address:

Post Code:

Number: [redacted]

Email Address:

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I object to the Gypsy & Traveller provisions in the plan. My fence was damaged & ponies left to graze on my land the police were called. The next day the ponies were on my neighbours field, the paddock cut. Many items have been stolen from the back of my property. I now have CCTV installed because I now feel unsafe in my own home. Many new caravans keep arriving. While BBC sit on the fence and do nothing all this green belt is diminishing due to all the tarmac & fences that is appearing. Once lost it has gone for good.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex, CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options
July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1775**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: First Name: [REDACTED] Last Name: [REDACTED]

Organisation (if applicable):

Job title (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Address: Name and address withheld as OK'd

Post Code: by Brentwood Planning Department

Email Address: (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support
Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

Brentwood Council's first priority must be to regain control of the current situation and remedy the complete disregard of planning rules and law
That means getting rid of the illegal sites.
Only then should Provision for Gypsies and Travellers be addressed.
Brentwood Council must get it priorities right.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.polley@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online - more information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan
All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1776

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable): ANONYMOUS BUT A LOCAL RESIDENT.

Job title (if applicable): FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS.

Address: NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

My opposition to the increasing number of traveller sites is based on the following:

- danger to motorists on a daily basis; I have to drive to work via the sites in Greatwood/Church Lane. Frequently dogs/horses are roaming causing significant hazards to motorists.
- The stench from stagnant water as a result of the brook/stream being blocked by concrete access paths is disgusting. I have had to brake as a result of the smell taking my breath away.
- erratic driving from young travellers deliberately driving carelessly causes responsible drivers to swerve towards the ditches ~~environment~~ PTO.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

Constant police presence for illegal drugs, guns, stolen cars (can be confirmed if necessary under Freedom of Information Act) causes disharmony + fear to law abiding citizens

I strongly contest the word 'traveller' as the homes now sited cannot be moved. I do agree that drugs + stolen cars are 'travelling' around the country but the people involved are returning to permanent fixtures.

After Dale Farm it is disappointing + also questionable as to why Brentwood Councillors are supporting this: I am prepared to pursue the reasons why including media support. Hopefully there is no corruption (?!) -

Brentwood Council seems to be dividing the status between the Navestock + Warley side of the Borough. My next steps will be an audit of where the Councillors live.

Keep Navestock clean + crime free. The campaign starts here.

Local Resident of a professional status.



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment
No.

1783

Ack date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:	First Name:	Last Name:
Organisation (if applicable)	NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.	
Job title (if applicable):		
Address:	Name and address withheld as OK'd	
Post Code:	by Brentwood Planning Department	
Email Address:	(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.	

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

THE SITES BEING CONSIDERED DESTROY THE GREEN BELT BY TARMACING, AND PAVING OVER WHAT WAS AGRICULTURAL USE ONLY LAND.

OUR VILLAGE IS BEING DESTROYED BY GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITES. WE HAVE TO COPE WITH HIGH AMOUNTS OF RUBBISH DUMPED IN OUR LANS, SEWAGE IN DITCHES, THE DESTRUCTION OF ADJACENT SSSIS, TRESPASS, TRIEUING AND INTIMIDATION. THE COUNCIL'S PLAN WILL DO NOTHING TO STOP THIS

THE COUNCILS COUNT OF CURRENT GYPSY & TRAVELLER NUMBERS IN THE PLAN IS HOPELESSLY WRONG. IT IS WELL KNOWN THEY NOW OUTNUMBER THE SETTLED COMMUNITY. BRENTWOOD COUNCIL MAKE NO EFFORT TO MAKE AN ACCURATE COUNT. WHY NOT? WHILST IN COMPLETE AGREEMENT WITH GOVERNMENT THAT TRAVELLERS SHOULD BE TREATED FAIR AND EQUAL, IN A WAY THAT FACILITATES THEIR TRADITIONAL AND NOMADIC WAY OF LIFE. THIS MUST BE WHILE RESPECTING THE INTERESTS OF THE SETTLED COMMUNITY, THE TREATMENT OF TRAVELLERS CANNOT BE TO THE DETRIMENT OF OTHERS THAT IS UNFAIR & UNEQUAL

SITES IN NAVESTOCK DO NOT MEET THE STATED CRITERIA AS THEY ARE NOWHERE NEAR SHOPS, SCHOOLS, HEALTHCARE OR PUBLIC TRANSPORT.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment
No.

1784

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:	First Name:	Last Name:
Organisation (if applicable):		
Job title (if applicable): NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.		
Address: Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.		
Post Code:		
Email Address:		

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

I object to the Gypsy & Traveller Plan for the following reasons:-
Our quiet village is being destroyed by all the Gypsy and Traveller sites in the village. You feel intimidated by them, and the ditch near the sites stinks of sewerage. They are always thrown from our gardens. The sites are supposed to be sited near schools, bus stops and shops, but we in Navestock have none of these. Brentwood Council just wants to push the Gypsy sites to the edge of their boundary, and that is Navestock, who has to put up with them.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk
Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Local Plan 2015-2030
Preferred Options
July 2013
COMMENT FORM**

(For official use only)

Comment No. **1785**

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Name and address withheld as OK'd
by Brentwood Planning Department
(Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

number: _____

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

As a Navestock Villager we experience a lot of fly tipping which has seemed to increase as the sites have got bigger. We can identify rubbish bins that have been used on the traveller's sites which have been dumped on our land, also dead animals ie litters of puppies + bitches.

Constant smell of effluent on the road outside of the site near to Stapleford Abbots golf course. Also shootings have become common place between the travellers in this area.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013



**BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment No.

1786

Ack, date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title: _____ First Name: _____

Organisation (if applicable) _____

Job title (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Email Address: _____

NAVESTOCK RESIDENT.

Name and address withheld as OK'd by Brentwood Planning Department (Phil Drane) on 9.11.13.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

Policy DM28: Gypsy & Traveller Provision

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

The local community and countryside are being destroyed by traveller sites. They pay no attention to the law. Sewage in ditches, fly tipping, intimidation. If you bothered to count the number of pitches, you would realise they exceed the legal amount. They do what they want and you turn a blind eye. Makes life unbearable.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk. Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013.



BRENTWOOD
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Local Plan 2015-2030

Preferred Options

July 2013

COMMENT FORM

(For official use only)

Comment
No.

1787

Ack. date

You can comment on the Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options online at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan. Alternatively, please use this form to share your views on the contents of the Local Plan.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Title:

First Name:

Last Name:

Organisation (if applicable):

Job title (if applicable):

Address:

Post Code:

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

BRENTWOOD B.C.

30 SEP 2013

Received

YOUR COMMENTS

Please indicate which section(s) of the Local Plan you are commenting on (please clearly state the Policy reference or paragraph number):

DM 28

Please specify if you Support or Object (tick as appropriate):

Support

Object

Comments (please use additional sheet if required):

1. WE ALREADY HAVE MORE THAN ENOUGH OFFICIAL GYPSY & TRAVELLER SITES, IN BRENTWOOD AND DEFINATELY IN 'NAVESTOCK'
2. GREEN BELT LAND HAS BEEN RUINED & LOOKS AWFUL.
3. AN INCREASE IN FLY-TIPPING IN COUNTRY LANES
4. AN INCREASE IN CAR CRIME & THEFT.
5. VIOLENCE AND USE OF WEAPONS WELL DOCUMENTED ON SITES IN BRENTWOOD.

Please return to Planning Policy Team, Brentwood Borough Council, Town Hall, Brentwood, Essex CM15 8AY, or alternatively attach completed form and email planning.policy@brentwood.gov.uk

Please note that all responses will be published online. More information can be found at www.brentwood.gov.uk/localplan

All responses should be received by Wednesday 2nd October 2013

BRENTWOOD B.C.
30 SEP 2013
Received

Planning Policy Team
Brentwood Borough Council
Town hall
Brentwood Essex CM15 8AY

Thursday, 26 September 2013

Dear Sir or Madam,

Reference Policy DM28 Gypsie & Travellers Provision

Herewith we would like to express our strong objection to the above policy.

- 1/ Already they have exceeded their allocated area on Goatswood Road corner of HorsemanSide Navestock.
- 2/ The sites being considered destroy the Green Belt by tarmacking and paving over agricultural land which was not meant to be brown site.
- 3/ The sites considered do not meet the stated criteria as they are not near shops, schools, health care facilities or public transport.
- 4/ Our village is being destroyed by Gypsy/Travellers sites.
We are having to cope with increased rubbish on lanes, farm land, sewage ditches and intimidation of their community. They race their horse and carriages often in 2's without any regards for other road users.
- 5/ They destroy adjacent SSSI's an trespass whether they want.
The local council's plan will do nothing to stop.
Local dwellings have lost their value due to their presence.
- 6/ The council's count of current sites in this plan is completely wrong.
Just look properly at the site mentioned here above (Goatswood/Horsemandside/Tysea Hill. Six years ago this was one site now there are 5 sites next to one and other. Unfortunately there are more Travellers/Gypsies then settled members of the public in Navestock which we know must be an embarrassing fact for Brentwood Council!
In your document you quote the NATIONAL POLICY ON TRAVELERS SITES as the government's aim to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers to facilitate their traditional and nomadic way.
Surely the council is aware that they are here for a long period and not for a nomadic period.
It is time that the Brentwood Council considers and respects the interest of the local community who have a right to live peacefully together without intimidation of the travellers.

Yours sincerely,

HDV Concerned resident of Navestock