

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

RITA JANET TUFFEY

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78
107 & 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAGE 5 of PARA's
2.6 + 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

The proposal of more homes in the village of Blackmore, would necessitate more cars, more cars means more pollution. Government objectives are to reduce pollution, NOT add to it!

Access roads in & out of the village are not adequate for persistent and necessary commuting, back & forth, to railway stations that would be imperative for employment.

The suggestion for Orchard Piece to carry the extra burden of through-traffic, is ludicrous. To have even more traffic speeding through, and ~~drag~~ dodging parked cars that already often line the road, would prove extremely hazardous.

To lose two green-belt fields would be highly detrimental to wildlife.

MM5

There are larger villages surrounding Blackmore, with far better infrastructure already in place, to cope with the demands that so many extra homes would create. Better roads/access to better road links, better shopping facilities/parades of shops etc. There are areas within the borough that are far more suited and sustainable.

MM78

Localised flooding in Blackmore already has its challenges. With the adverse effects of the weather/climate change, we can only presume that there will be more challenges ahead. The suggestion of two ponds does NOT seem a feasible solution. In times of heavy rain fall the village pond is unable to deal with excess water, it floods and is impassable. Therefore, one can only anticipate that the two suggested ponds would flood under a deluge of excess water also, and quite likely flood properties surrounding them. How far would that spread of flooding be? That of course is unknown.

MM107/108

To reduce the proposed number of houses to 50, then increase back to 70, does NOT have the appearance of the public's response being taken into consideration at all.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary