

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Susan Webb
-----------	------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	78
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

The village has experience regular surface water flooding problems and the measures taken to date by the council have failed to stop the problem. This item has been downplayed in the LDP and the Inspectors have tried to cover it up by removing words that highlight the issue. Since the new development has been built in Red Rose Lane there are pictures from the latest flooding incident showing significant water flow rushing off the site and into Red Rose Lane making the existing flood water deeper and much worse. Covering two even bigger fields for R25 & R26 will massively increase this problem, and Climate Change will make it so much worse.

The known flooding of the River Wid has not even been raised, even though it is identified as high risk on the Essex County Council Flood risk map.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

There needs to be a full Environmental Agency survey of the area and plans drawn up to remove the risk before R25 & R26 can be safely included in the Plan. It must not be left for profit driven developers to come up with a plan to "mitigate" the issue. It would not be possible to test the viability of their "solutions" until after they have developed and disappeared. They would never return to put in a more expensive solution after the event and Blackmore would suffer the consequences for evermore.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary