

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Full Name |  |
|-----------|--|

Abbie Smith

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

|                                            |                |                                          |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| Schedule of Potential Main Modifications   | MM no.         | <input type="text" value="1,2, 78, 81"/> |
| Sustainability Appraisal                   | para(s)        | <input type="text"/>                     |
| Habitat Regulations Assessment             | para(s)        | <input type="text"/>                     |
| Policies Map or other supporting documents | Please specify | <input type="text"/>                     |

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore does not meet strategic aims of BBC or Government, it has poor public transport, is a long distance from towns, and stations. Every household has to have 2 cars unless residents are retired. This means high level of car use, which does not comply with BBC or government guidelines

MM78

Blackmore is identified by the government as red level for flooding, removing 2 large green fields will make the situation worse & is irresponsible.

MM81

BBC did not carry out exceptional circumstances test, otherwise they would have identified available brownfield sites, 12 houses were built on such a site in 2020/21 in Red Rose Lane Blackmore.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/MM2

As Blackmore does meet strategic aims it should be removed from LDP

MM78

Environment Agency needs to be consulted before this proceeds any further

MM81

This needs to reviewed also 12 houses have already been built in Red Rose Lane.