

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

RAYMOND PRICE

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78, 81

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

para 5
2-6, 28-1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore does not meet any of BBC strategy tests, it is on green belt, creates no jobs or provide homes for local people. It is remote & cars are needed to get anywhere.

MM5

Blackmore is a small remote village 35+ dwelling it cannot be grouped with large villages such as Deddington. There have better facilities, better road connections & closer to towns & stations.

MM78

The village infrastructure is inadequate now with adding another 70 houses, flooding happens every time there is heavy rain. If you connect over 2 large fields will only worsen.

MM81

Cannot believe that BBC did not fully investigate whether more suitable sites were available.

More likely scenario is BBC were led by developers proposals.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/MM2

Evidence needs to be produced as to why Bladmore was chosen, need to prove it was not just developer led

Review required

MM5

Bladmore does not meet rules/objectives for a large village, this document needs to be reversed.

MM78

Environment Agency needs to be involved!
Exeter County Council too review infrastructure
facilities.

MM81

There is no real justification to use green
belt land, this needs to be reviewed

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary