

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

VALERIE SHERWOOD

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 7
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	81, 78, 107 + 108 page 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM81 WHY WERE THESE
FIELDS INCLUDED IN THE LDP?

THEY ARE NOT APPROPRIATE TO
USE FOR AN UNWANTED AND
UNNEEDED HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

MM 78 WHAT WAS THE JUSTIFICATION
TO RELEASE THEM FOR HOUSING
WHEN THE BUILDING ON THEM
WILL INCREASE THE EXISTING
FLOOD RISK IN AND AROUND
THE VILLAGE?

ARE THE BBC PREPARED TO
TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE
ADDITIONAL DAMAGE AND
FLOODING THAT THIS DEVELOPMENT
WILL GENERATE?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM78 + NM81

REMOVAL OF R25 + R26 FROM
LDP or provide evidence
that the development of these
sites will not increase
flooding in this neighbourhood
and their inclusion in the
LDP is justified because
of exceptional reasons
other than make up the
housing numbers for the
BBC

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary