

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

Deborah Sean Spencer

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 78 81, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	Page 5 & Paragraphs 2.6 3 & 2.8.1
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

MM78:

Flooding has always been an issue with Blackmore, especially down Red Rose Lane & the suggestion of digging 2 ponds on the site is not advantageous. After a heavy rainfall the water table is at ground surface level.

MM81:

Do not believe the exceptional circumstances that was carried out with any conviction. If it had been the brown fields sites in Red Rose Lane Blackmore & Standon Massey would have been identified & included in LDP.

MM 107/108:

Number of houses were reduced from 70 to 50 by BBC due to high level of concerns by majority of Blackmore residents: for the inspector to increase back to 70 ignores the point of asking for public response to LDP.

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2 :

Blackmore is a village with country roads & is remote from towns & railway stations. Our bus service is poor. You need a car to travel to anywhere, including GP's & pharmacy.

More houses means more cars & definitely more congestion, contrary to BBC Strategic objectives & Government aims for reducing pollution.

The 2 large fields ~~would~~ have wildlife habitat & would be a shame to lose them.

MM5 :

Blackmore has 1 shop, 1 tea room & hairdressers, & cannot be compared to larger villages such as Doddington, Kelvedon Hatch & Mountnessing. They have better road links & closer to towns & stations. The village should be classified as a class 4 village not class 3!

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

There is no justification to remove the fields R25 & R26 from Green Belt protection. No exceptional circumstances identified, no benefit to the village or increase in sustainability. Contrary it will cause untold harm to the area & community. and should be removed from LDP.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary