

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	MR OLIVER SIRRELL MRS JENNIFER SIRRELL
-----------	---

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 78, 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

To build such large quantities of properties within this Historic Village of Blackmore when we have poor transport facilities, when Brentwood Borough Council's objectives are to reduce unnecessary journeys by car (to reduce pollution & congestion) is ridiculous. Car travel from here to Brentwood/Chelmsford etc is essential as Blackmore is a very remote Village.

To put an additional possible 140+ extra Vehicles onto our Village & Country Roads is not only dangerous but also environmentally unacceptable - especially in today's climate.

Why should Blackmore be taking on all these new dwellings when other local areas have been allocated NOTHING. For example Duddinghurst has a Neighbourhood Plan but has been allocated ZERO houses. There is NO Neighbourhood Plan for Blackmore yet 70 properties have been allocated here.

Have you also considered the very real problem of regular flooding to the Village. This development will, without doubt, make the area worse & more susceptible to property damage.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

The sites for ~~red~~ development in Blachmore were selected by Developers (on Green Belt land) and a full sustainability (& suitability) review needs to be undertaken -

Blachmore doesn't meet any of Brentwood Borough Council's strategic tests. So why is this even being considered -

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary