

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

STEPHEN POULTON

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 7, 8
81, 107 + 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAGE 5
AND PARAS 2.6
2.8.1.

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

—

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1 AND MM2

FURTHER EXPANSION IN BLACKMORE OF THE SIZE PROPOSED BY THE RELEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE TWO FIELDS IN REDDING LANE ~~IS~~ DISREGARDS GOVERNMENT AIMS AND BBC OWN STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES. BY DIRECTLY CAUSING A MAJOR ADDITION TO THE EXISTING TRAFFIC AND POLLUTION PROBLEMS CURRENTLY EXPERIENCED BY THE RESIDENTS OF BLACKMORE

MM81

THE IDENTIFICATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES TO JUSTIFY THE BUILDING ON THESE TWO GREEN FIELD SITES DOES NOT EXIST AND THEREFORE IS CONTRA TO GOVERNMENT NPPF GUIDELINES AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCY ADVICE OF BUILDING ON LAND WHICH WILL EXACERBATE FLOODING IN NEIGHBOURING AREAS. IN THIS INSTANCE ~~VILLAGE OF~~ HISTORIC VILLAGE OF BLACKMORE

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1 + MM2
REMOVAL OF THESE TWO SITES FROM
LDP THEY SHOULD NEVER HAD BEEN
CONSIDERED AS SUITABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT
MM81 A FULL REVIEW OF
THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES
TEST TO JUSTIFY THE RELEASE
OF GREEN FIELD SITES R25 & R26
TO BE COMPLETED BEFORE ANY
KIND OF DEVELOPMENT IS CONSIDERED.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary