

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

FRANK TIGER

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 7 ^s , 8 ¹ 107, 108
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	2-6, 2-8-1 para 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification** and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

BLACKMORE IS A REMOTE VILLAGE WHICH DOES NOT MEET ANY OF BBC STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES. WITH POOR ROAD CONNECTIONS, IS DISTANT FROM TOWNS/RAILWAY STATIONS, BUS SERVICE POOR & NOT SUITABLE FOR COMMUTING. CAR TRAVEL IS ESSENTIAL TO GET ANYWHERE FOR BLACKMORE RESIDENTS. MORE HOUSES RESULTS IN MORE CARS/POLLUTION/CONGESTION. WHICH IS CONTRARY TO BBC STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES & GOVERNMENT AIMS FOR REDUCING UNNECESSARY JOURNEYS. ALSO DESTROYING 2 GREEN BELT FIELDS MEANS LOSS OF VALUABLE WILDLIFE HABITAT.

MM5

BLACKMORE HAS 1 SHOP, 1 TEA ROOM & A LADIES PART TIME H/DRESSOR. UNLIKE MOUNTAINESSING/DODDINGHURST/BELVEDON HATCH WHICH HAVE A RANGE OF SHOPS. PLUS FAR MORE DWELLINGS, BETTER ROAD LINKS & ARE CLOSER TO TOWNS/STATIONS ETC.

MM8

FLOODING HAS ALWAYS BEEN AN ISSUE AT BLACKMORE & REMOVING 2 LARGE FIELDS WILL JUST MAKE SITUATION WORSE.

MM81

DO NOT BELIEVE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES TEST WAS CARRIED OUT THOROUGHLY, IF AT ALL. AS THERE ARE OBVIOUSLY OTHER MORE SUITABLE SITES, WHICH WE IDENTIFIED SEVERAL YEARS AGO.

MM107 & 108

INCREASING NOS FROM 50 TO 70 IS A 40% INCREASE & THEY WERE REDUCED TO LOWER FIGURE AS BBC ACCEPTED SOME OF RESIDENTS VIEWS ABOUT UNSUITABILITY OF SITE

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/MM2

Reasons for selecting Blackmore for 70 new dwellings need to be reviewed. BBC need to be transparent & advise how this decision was reached as Blackmore does not meet any of the strategic BBC tests.

This needs to be re-visited & situation reviewed.

MMS

BLACKMORE DOES NOT FIT THE CRITERIA OF A CLASS 3 VILLAGE IN ESSX, AGAIN THIS NEEDS TO BE REVIEWED BY BBC OR INDEPENDENT BODY.

MM78

ENVIRONMENT AGENCY NEEDS TO BE INVOLVED & THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS HEEDED UPON, NOT JUST LEFT TO DEVELOPERS TO RESOLVE, THEIR ONLY MOTIVE IS TO MAXIMISE PROFITS. DEVELOPERS ARE RENOWNED FOR BUILDING IN FLOOD RISK AREAS, BUT LOCAL AUTHORITY SHOULD STOP THIS. AS THEY & RESIDENTS HAVE TO DEAL WITH SUBSEQUENT FLOODING.

MM81

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT AN EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES TEST WAS EVER CARRIED OUT TO RELEASE GREEN BELT LAND FOR DEVELOPMENT. THIS NEEDS TO BE REVIEWED

MM107/108

INCREASE FROM 50 TO 70 HOUSES AT REQUEST OF INSPECTOR CANNOT BE JUSTIFIED. IT WAS REDUCED TO 50 AS DUNTON MILLS AGREED TO TAKE ANOTHER 20.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary