

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

CHRISTINE TACER

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1, 2, 5, 7 & 8
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	2-6, 2.8-1 para 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	Annex 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or

Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore is a remote village which does not meet any of BBC strategy objectives, with poor road connections, & is distant from towns/railway stations, bus service is poor & not suitable for commuting. Car travel is essential to get anywhere if you reside in Blackmore, so more houses means more cars/pollution/congestion. Which is contrary to BBC strategic objectives & the government aims for reducing unnecessary journeys. Every one of these new dwellings will have a minimum of 2 cars.

Also losing 2 large fields to houses means loss of valuable wildlife habitat.

MM5

Blackmore has only 1 shop, 1 tea room & a part time ladies hairdresser, this cannot be compared to large villages such as Doddinghurst/|Kelvedon Hatch/Mountnessing which have a parade of shops, far more dwellings, better road links & are closer to towns & stations.

The village should be classified as a class 4 village, not class 3 as per Kelvedon Hatch etc

MM78

Flooding has always been an issue with Blackmore & removing 2 large fields will just make the situation worse. We understand the builder's solution is creating 2 ponds on the site, whilst this is a good cheap solution for the builders, it is unworkable as at times of heavy rain the water table is at ground surface level. Also with more extreme weather predicted this situation will only worsen.

MM81

Do not believe the exceptional circumstances test was carried out with any conviction, if at all. If it had been the brown field sites in Red Rose Lane Blackmore & at Stondon Massey would have been identified & included in LDP.

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM1/MM2

The reason for selecting Blackmore for 70 new dwellings needs to be reviewed. BBC need to be transparent & advise how this decision was arrived at as Blackmore does not meet any of the strategic BBC tests.

Majority of village residents are convinced that the only reason that Blackmore was chosen was that it was developer led, & their main motive if of course profit. Bur BBC should be choosing correct locations not easiest solutions.

This needs to be re-visited & situation reviewed.

MM5

Blackmore does not fit the criteria of a class 3 village in Essex, again this needs to be reviewed by BBC or independent body.

MM78

Environment agency needs to be involved & their recommendations acted upon, not just left to the developers to resolve, their only motive is to maximise profits. Developers are renowned for building in flood risk areas and the government have advised this should be stopped. The residents and local authority has to deal with the consequences of flooding.

MM81

There is no evidence that an exceptional circumstances test was carried out to allow the release of green belt land for development. BBC need to prove that this was done thoroughly & legally, or review situation fully so that it meets government guidelines.
