Section B: Your Re	presentation
--------------------	--------------

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

MINUSELO CO	
Full Name FACE JOHN U	NERB
Question 1: Which Main Modification and/or representation relate to?	supporting document does your
Each Main Modification within the Schedule h found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2	as a reference number. This can be
Any representations on a supporting documer of the document it relates to and, as far as poto specific Main Modifications. You should avoid documents themselves.	ssible, your comments should be linked
Representations on the Policies Map must be they reflect a change required as a result of a	
Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no. M M 78.
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify

Question 2: Do you consider this	Main Modification and/o	or supporting document:
Legally Compliant?	YES	NO 🔲
Sound?	YES	NO NO
	Barrell Table to the minice and	·
Question 3: If you consider the <b>Ma</b> unsound, please indicate which of that apply):		
Not positively prepared	g te v = i	
Not justified		
Not effective		
Not consistent with national plann	ing policy	

## Question 4: Please provide details of either:

 Why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document to be sound or legally compliant; or

 Why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

here have been the hildre for mony years and have been aware of frequent pribano with flooding one the eyears - amounting flooding from women from the plan is in my aprimin incorrect.

I am clear that having houses on two eighteans field adjacent to Red Rose Laine and having immediate nun-off can only wirson this would be are all aware that more extrone weather is probabled and the proposal to avente two punds is not as realistic answer as

the water table is correctly at ground level

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Ula the is significant rain

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

My suggestions are for two alternatives.

1) Remove the R25 a R26 from the plan.

Thus enabling the skatus quo to be maintenned of My increase the flood resk?

0

2) Enoure any development on these sites &
en Buckmore is reviewed by the Anvironment
Assercy so that they can formally
cassess the additional flooding (inci
Fluvial Flooding) - which I would
Confidently predict would also rule
there sites out.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary