

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name	Michael Pinato
-----------	----------------

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications	MM no.	1 2 5 7 8 10 11 12
Sustainability Appraisal	para(s)	page 5
Habitat Regulations Assessment	para(s)	
Policies Map or other supporting documents	Please specify	ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM 78

Flooding and sewage overflow in and around the Village is a major concern. Using the two greenfield sites R25 and R26 for development will increase the risk of this occurring and cause significant damage to a beautiful conservation area and many listed buildings as well as damaging or homes a community. The proposed SUDS solution will not prevent flooding when the water table is a surface level which is a regular occurrence.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary



Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

MM 78

Using land for development which will significantly increase the risk of flooding in the area is unsafe and unsound and is contrary to Government Guidelines.

R25 and R26 should be removed from the LDP and not considered for any building development. If the BBC lets this community down and continues to support this unsound development they should be held accountable when this village suffers from the inevitable increase in flooding.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary