

Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

Full Name

GARY DUCANT - LEAD

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

Schedule of Potential Main Modifications

MM no.

1, 2, 5, 78, 81
107, 108

Sustainability Appraisal

para(s)

PAR 25
PARA. 2.6 & 2.8.1

Habitat Regulations Assessment

para(s)

Policies Map or other supporting documents

Please specify

ANNEX 2

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☐

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☐

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

MM1/MM2

Blackmore is a small village with minor road connections and is a distance from larger towns and railway stations. Public transport is limited and unreliable for commuting. Travel by car is essential and more houses bring more vehicles and more pollution. This is contrary to BBC strategic objectives & government plans for reducing unnecessary journeys.

Assessing 2 large greenfield sites to houses means loss of wildlife habitat, some of which are protected. Surely planting trees to reduce CO₂ emissions is better than building dwellings.

MM5

Blackmore village should be classed as Class 4 Village, not 3, due to its amenities.

NM78

Flooding is and always will be a major concern for Blackmore. Increasing the population and removing large drainage fields will be catastrophic.

M.V.81

I do not believe the exceptional circumstances test was undertaken with any conviction. If so the brownfield sites in Red Rose Lane & Stada Massey would have been recorded and included in the LDP.

MM 107/108

House numbers were reduced from 70 to 50 by BBC due to high level of concerns raised by local Blackmore residents. For the inspector to revert back to 70 simply ignores the point of asking for a public response to the LDP.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary