

## Section B: Your Representation

Please complete a separate sheet for each representation that you wish to make. You must complete 'Part A – Personal Details' for your representation to be accepted.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our Consultation Portal. Any representations that are considered libelous, racist, abusive or offensive will not be accepted. All representations made will only be attributed to your name. We will not publish any contact details, signatures or other sensitive information.

|           |               |
|-----------|---------------|
| Full Name | Barbara Pratt |
|-----------|---------------|

Question 1: Which **Main Modification and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to?

Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2

Any representations on a supporting document should clearly state which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

Representations on the Policies Map must be linked to specific modifications in that they reflect a change required as a result of a Main Modification.

|                                            |                |                                  |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Schedule of Potential Main Modifications   | MM no.         | <input type="text" value="142"/> |
| Sustainability Appraisal                   | para(s)        | <input type="text"/>             |
| Habitat Regulations Assessment             | para(s)        | <input type="text"/>             |
| Policies Map or other supporting documents | Please specify | <input type="text"/>             |

Question 2: Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

Legally Compliant?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Sound?

YES

☐

NO

☒

Question 3: If you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** unsound, please indicate which of the soundness test(s) does it fail (please mark all that apply):

Not positively prepared

☒

Not justified

☒

Not effective

☒

Not consistent with national planning policy

☒

Question 4: Please provide details of either:

- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be sound or legally compliant; or
- Why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** to be unsound or is not legally compliant.

Blackmore is a small isolated village with no bus or rail service, so everyone living here needs to use cars to get around. Although the stated objectives of the council and the government is to reduce congestion and pollution by discouraging <sup>more</sup> ~~greater~~ car journeys. This plan goes 180° against that by putting a big development in a small village & force more cars on the broken down road system.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Question 5: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** sound or legally compliant, having regard to the matters that you identified above.

You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan sound or legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as accurate as possible.

Remove R25 + R26 from the plan & use other sites which are more appropriate both for developing and the people who would live on them.

Better options have already been removed with no reason given ~~than~~ but political expediency

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary